```
0001
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
          COOPERATIVE CONSERVATION LISTENING SESSION
11
                     Taken August 28, 2006
12
                   Commencing at 10:00 a.m.
13
              Volume I - Pages 1 - 127, inclusive
14
15
                           Taken at
16
                        Carlson Center
                        2010 2nd Avenue
17
                       Fairbanks, Alaska
18
19
20
21
22
     Reported by:
     Mary A. Vavrik, RMR
23
2.4
25
0002
 1
                     A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
 2
     David J. Case
     Moderator
 3
     Dirk Kempthorne
 4
     Secretary
     U.S. Department of the Interior
 5
     Dale Hall
 6
     Director
     U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
 7
     Ron Kreizenbeck
 8
     Acting Regional Administrator
     U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
 9
     Tim Keeney
10
     Deputy Assistant Secretary
     National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
11
     Julie Kitka
12
    Alaska Federation of Natives
13
     Taken by:
     Mary A. Vavrik, RMR
14
15
     BE IT KNOWN that the aforementioned proceedings were
```

16 taken at the time and place duly noted on the title 17 page, before Mary A. Vavrik, Registered Merit 18 Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State 19 of Alaska. 2.0 21 22 2.3 2.4 25 0003 1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 MR. CASE: Okay. Welcome. Good 3 morning. My name is Dave Case. And I'd like to 4 welcome you to the eighth of 24 listening sessions 5 being held across the country. I'll be the 6 moderator today. As you can see, we have some -- well, the room 8 is full of important guests, and particularly the 9 folks that you see in front of you right now. They 10 are the sixth grade class from Anne Wien Elementary. 11 They are working on a project that's referred to 12 very appropriately as the Common Ground Education 13 Project. They are promoting outdoor and place-based 14 conservation education on the Chena River area and 15 throughout Fairbanks. They have developed a walking 16 trail, trailside kiosk on the Chena River at Noyes 17 Slough. So it's a pleasure to have them here at each of the meetings. It seems appropriate when we 18 19 talk about cooperative conservation to have people 20 that represent the future of conservation, and this 21 group sure does that. So we are glad to have you 22 here. 23 I'd also like to welcome the many elders and 24 others from across Alaska who have joined us here. 25 We don't have the time to introduce everyone, but we 0004 encourage everyone to take the chance to come to the 1 2 microphone and present comments. 3 It's my pleasure next to introduce Julie Rafferty. Julie is a University of Alaska Fairbanks 4 5 graduate and a long time resident of Fairbanks. She's a jazz singer, has even recorded a CD, and 6 7 she's going to sing our national anthem. 8 MS. RAFFERTY: Thank you, Dave. I'd 9 invite all of you to sing along with me, if you 10 would like. I'll try to pitch it low. 11 (National anthem sung.) 12 MR. CASE: Thank you, Julie. Next to 13 give invocation, I'd like to invite Poldine Carlo to 14 give the invocation. She's a respected Koyukon 15 Athabaskan originally from Nulato. 16 MS. CARLO: Good morning. Welcome to 17 Fairbanks. 18 (Prayer offered in native dialect.) MR. CASE: Thank you. Okay. And 19

I'll thank again the sixth grade class, and you can

20

21 find your seats for a civics lesson.

22

23

24

25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0006 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Thanks again for being here. Next I'd like to introduce the people up at the podium on my right. Secretary of the U.S. Department of Interior, Secretary Dirk Kempthorne. Director of the U.S. 0005

Fish & Wildlife Service, Dale Hall. Deputy Assistant Secretary of NOAA, Tim Keeney. Acting Regional Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ron Kreizenbeck.

Mary Vavrik is our court reporter today. She will be recording and taking down everything we say here so that there will be a record. We will be producing meeting summaries of each of these meetings. So we do ask when you come up to the podium that you speak into the microphone so she can be sure to hear you and capture everything.

Also our sign interpreters, I think that's Lizanne that's signing right now, and L'Rona is sitting down and will be taking over when Lizanne gets worn out and vice versa.

I'd like to start by just giving you a brief overview of what we are going to do. We will have a few more introductions and then have a few comments from the podium and then quickly move into the main reason that we're here, which is to listen to your comments about cooperative conservation. process is designed to try and hear from as many people as we possibly can in a way that's fair to everyone and gives everyone a chance to speak. We would ask that if you have cell phones, that if you

could turn those off, we would appreciate it so they don't go off in the middle of somebody's speaking.

As you came in, you should have gotten a card that has a number on it. What we are going to do after a couple of introductory presentations is move right into the speakers. And I'll just ask if you would like, if you have got card No. 1, ask you to come forward to this microphone.

If you don't want to make spoken comments today, there's a number of other ways that you can provide comments, and we'd sure encourage you to do that. On that card there is a website address that you can go to and submit comments electronically. There's also a mailing address or a fax address on there -- or a fax number on there. When you come up to the microphone, if you could, state your name, spell your last name for us so we get that correct in the record, where you are from, and if you represent an organization, what that organization -what that organization is.

As I mentioned, Mary is going to capture all of the proceedings; however, there is no more importance attached to spoken words here today than there is from something that you might submit electronically.

2.4

1 2

We are going to limit the time that you have to about two and a half minutes. I'll let you know when your two and a half minutes is up, and I'll show you a card from the -- and just to let you know that the two and a half minutes is up, and you will have about 30 more seconds, then, to finish up. We know that's not much time, and I apologize in advance. I was always taught that it was rude to interrupt people. Little did I know it was my job to interrupt people. And I apologize in advance, but we want to try and make sure that while you have folks like these here, which is not often you get to come before a group like this, that we give everyone a chance today to say their words.

Before -- not before. Now. It's my great pleasure to welcome Secretary of the Interior Dirk Kempthorne to the podium.

MR. KEMPTHORNE: Dave, thank you very much. This is -- this is very special to be in Alaska, and I will tell you that this is the first time really that I've had any extended time to be in this magnificent state. And just a few moments ago you saw I was able to shake hands with these wonderful sixth graders to see them with the sparkle in their eye and to say welcome to Alaska or that we

are glad that you are here. They really, I think, personify what I've experienced in Alaska thus far: Wonderful hospitality, a rugged individualist nature.

Flying in from Seattle to Fairbanks, it was interesting how many young people were on the flight: Smoke jumpers, fire hot shot, military, some good kids from Idaho, my state, who are coming up here to your university. And it's just -- there is a vibrancy here, combined with the magnificent outdoors that all of you can -- are rightfully proud of. And I tell you that I take with me a very positive impression of Fairbanks.

And we'll be continuing our travel through Alaska because it's -- it's interesting, with the Department of the Interior, in all of our responsibilities. Before I became the Secretary of Interior, I was governor of Idaho up until about four months ago. As Secretary of Interior, I now have more jurisdiction in the state of Idaho than I did as governor, and we have tremendous responsibility here in this great State of Alaska.

And I have to acknowledge, too, the Griffin family that's here, that, Colonel, you are serving this country and you brought the beautiful family

with you that will now be in Alaska for a while, but remember that Idaho is your center of the universe. So please come back.

Let me also just say that I bring you -- and I

think it's appropriate to tell you I bring you greetings from the President. This cooperative conservation hearing session is something that we had discussions about in the White House. And the President was adamant. He said, I want you to go out and I would like you to listen to what Americans have to say. And he was very energized by this.

I think at the end of this process -- as Dave pointed out, we will have 24 of these. We will have hundreds, if not thousands of comments from American citizens about your thoughts. And this is a cooperative effort by the Department of the Interior and Agriculture, by the Department of Commerce, by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Council on Environmental Quality. So to have Tim and Ron here with us today and Dale from the Fish & Wildlife Service, and then we have a number of other folks that are here that are regional directors and do so much on behalf of our fellow citizens.

Just before this listening session, I had a wonderful productive meeting with the Alaska

## 

2.

Federation of Natives. You have a great leader in Julie Kitka. My Interior Department is proud to partner with Alaska Natives from all over the state, through our parks, refuges, BLM lands, in your quest to improve your lives through economic development.

We have many challenges at the Department of the Interior. We manage one out of five acres in the United States of America. We manage one-fifth of the land in the United States. The land and waters we manage produce one-third of our domestic energy. We provide water to 31,000,000 Americans. We manage relations with 561 Indian tribes, help protect citizens from forest fires and natural hazards, and serve some 470,000,000 visitors at national parks, wildlife refuges and other public lands.

Fortunately, we have many partners at the state and local level who care deeply about the environment and the land on which we live and that we enjoy. Without the help of these citizen stewards, we could not possibly achieve our conservation goals. I don't believe, nor does the President believe that Washington, D.C. has all the answers. We believe that people living in Fairbanks and other communities across Alaska and America can

provide valuable insight to problems and often can solve problems more quickly than the federal government. You are proving this right here in Alaska with your collaborative efforts on subsistence and offshore oil and gas activities.

For example, with technical assistance from the Fish & Wildlife Service, the Native Village of Fort

Fish & Wildlife Service, the Native Village of Fort Yukon is removing a major fish passing barrier and replacing it with a structure that does not block passage of whitefish and chum salmon. Twenty miles of spawning and rearing habitat will be protected.

2.3

The Cook Inlet Tribal Council is collaborating with local landowners to protect wetland habitats on the lower Kenai Peninsula. And the North Slope Borough is working with the local utilities cooperative to restore and enhance habitats near Barrow to reduce mortality and increase nesting success of threatened and at-risk birds.

President Bush understands the importance of working closely with local partners. He said in a quote, we believe cooperative conservation is the best way to protect the environment. This means we must focus on the needs of states and respect the unique knowledge of local authorities and welcome the help of private groups and volunteers. The

President also said through cooperative conservation we are moving away from the old environmental debates that pit one group against another and towards a system that brings citizens of every level of government together to get results.

Last year he held the first ever White House conference on cooperative conservation. More than 1,300 individuals representing hundreds of organizations met in St. Louis and provided illustrations of cooperative conservation projects across the nation. Participants discussed how the nation might strengthen partnerships, dialogue, and collaboration to achieve environmental goals. These partnerships among landowners, communities, the private sector, tribes, counties, and states holds the nation's greatest promise for achieving environmental goals, reducing conflict, and leveraging conservation resources.

During next two months, we will build the momentum of last year's conference and work to strengthen these alliances. We will hold 24 sessions across the industry to give citizens an opportunity to talk about cooperative conservation. Citizen stewards will be able to tell us what works and also, significantly, what doesn't work.

The meetings will focus on issues and programs and policies mentioned frequently at the conference. Topics such as how can the federal government enhance wildlife habitat, species protection, and other conservation outcomes through regulatory and voluntary conservation programs. How can the federal government enhance cooperation among federal agencies with states and tribes and local communities and the application of environmental protection and conservation laws? How can the federal government work with states and tribes and other public and private sector partners to improve science used in environmental protection and

conservation? How can the federal government work cooperatively with businesses and landowners to protect the environment and promote conservation?

17 We look forward to hearing your thoughts and 18 ideas. How can the federal government better 19 respect the interest of people with ownership in

respect the interest of people with ownership in land and water and other natural resources? We want

21 to hear from all of you and others across the

22 nation. Hearing from you will tell us whether the

23 Fish & Wildlife Service landowner incentive program

24 is as effective as possible in conserving wildlife

25 habitat on private lands. Hearing from you will 0014

tell us whether the Endangered Species Act is an effective -- is as effective as it can be. Do we have enough emphasis on recovery, or are we simply intent upon listing a species and moving on.

Hearing from you will tell us whether we can restore

Hearing from you will tell us whether we can restore even more wildlife habitat than we have for the partners for Fish & Wildlife program.

I will tell you that during the next week I'll travel throughout Alaska. I will listen to Alaskans and their different backgrounds. They will talk about their businesses. They will talk about education. They will talk about hunting, fishing. They will talk about their hopes and their desires. And they will probably express their pride in Alaska. And they'll talk about some of the challenges of the issues that we all face together. But you are giving us an opportunity where we are going to have good insight.

I have been very impressed with these listening sessions because, when you think about this, whether this is Columbus, Ohio or Spokane, Washington, or Colton, California, wherever we hold these listening sessions, good citizens like you have turned out, will give us comments either through the spoken word or the written word or submit it to us

electronically at a later date. But this is how the process is supposed to work.

So I thank you for your participation, your hospitality, and I look forward to your comments. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

Next I'd like to introduce Deputy Assistant Secretary of NOAA, Tim Keeney.

MR. KEENEY: Thank you, Dave. It's a great pleasure to be here and certainly to follow Secretary Kempthorne's remarks. I, too, flew in here recently. I flew actually from Connecticut

13 yesterday, 12 hours by air. And I work in

14 Washington, D.C., but my family lives in

15 Connecticut, so I commute back to Connecticut every

16 weekend, so coming out here really wasn't that much
17 farther.

18 Act

14

15

16

20

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

0015

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Actually, on my plane we had a bunch of airline

19 pilots. I couldn't figure out who these guys were.
20 They were all talking to each other and getting up
21 and chit-chatting. We had a six and a half hour
22 flight from Chicago to Anchorage. When we got
23 there, I finally figured out what they really were.
24 But people are really excited to get out here
25 to Alaska. I've been lucky enough to have been
0016

coming to Alaska now for over 20 years through my job at NOAA. And it is truly an exciting place to be, a land of great wealth, great resources, and also a land that is experiencing climate change.

So first of all, I'd like to thank you for taking the time to listen -- to come to this listening session and particularly encourage you to get up and make some comments because that is particularly important for us to hear from you what's important, what you think we need to know and better understand.

And I'm happy to be here in Fairbanks escaping the heat of Washington, D.C. I'm a jogger, too, and jogging in Washington D.C. is not a whole lot of fun in the summertime. It's 90 degrees out, the humidity is 80 percent and you start sweating even before you start to run. So getting up this morning and going out and running in 50, 55 or so degree weather was really a treat, so thanks for having me up here.

NOAA has many programs in Alaska, including oceanographic climate research, fisheries management, hydrographic surveying, and our coordination with University of Alaska and the sea grant program. Cooperative conservation draws on

the resources of federal, state, and local partnerships to improve environmental protection and natural resource management and to achieve the goals of shared governance and citizen stewardship.

NOAA has a long history of cooperative conservation, from improving habitat and rebuilding fisheries stocks to helping mitigate the effects of drought and reduce the damage from natural disasters. We've been particularly busy this most recent season with hurricanes. I think we've got one right now in the Gulf of Mexico we are following pretty closely.

NOAA has also relied on partnerships to help achieve its mission. Just recently the National Marine Fisheries Service formally established the Aleutian Island habitat conservation area here in Alaska, which covers 279,000 square nautical miles. Ladies and gentlemen, that's bigger than the state of Texas and California put together. This was a conservation zone primarily put together because of the important habitat on the bottom of this area, which encompasses quite a bit of cold water coral or deep water corals, which are important to the

24 rearing of fish. So this is a protected area from 25 dragging over those corals and destroying corals 0018

2.2

2.3

that sometimes take hundreds, if not thousands, of years to grow.

This was only possible with the coordinated efforts of the state and North Pacific Fisheries Management Council, as well as coral researchers at the University of Alaska, fishermen, conservation organizations like Oceania, and this unprecedented conservation effort has highlighted deep water coral conservation throughout the world. In fact, with Alaska's leadership, they have spawned similar measures now off the coast of California, Washington, and Oregon most recently.

Agencies working together is another key element I wanted to mention and, of course, the Department of Interior and NOAA need to work better together, as well. Just last week I signed with Kameron Onley, my counterpart in Interior, an inter-agency agreement which builds on a seamless network and tries to encourage, again, cooperative conservation, people working better together, better understanding for his points of view, getting input from all different aspects, closer cooperation, less jurisdictional line drawing, and less administrative and financial barriers.

The best example I can give you of this is the

Northwest Hawaiian Islands Monument which President Bush declared just last month which sets aside an incredibly huge area for conservation, 800 miles long, 100 miles wide in the northwest Hawaiian Islands. What's interesting here is it requires the refuge program at Interior, National Parks, representatives at Interior, National Marines Fisheries Service at NOAA, and our National Marine Sanctuary Program to work together to come up with regulations that apply to everyone.

And of course, you, being the public out there, look at the federal government as just one entity. Of course, we are many different organizations that all need to work better together. The example here is just last week we worked out some regulations that allow for -- even though this is an area that doesn't allow for commercial fishing, it will allow fishermen to have fishing gear on their decks, and it will also allow fishermen to anchor their boats at night because boats that are in this area are sometimes seven, eight, nine days away from home. You have to be able to anchor at night. You can't go ashore. And you also need to have fishing gear on board because they can fish in other areas outside of this particular area.

So it allowed us to sort of loosen our regulations and come up with a system that worked,

common sense measures that everyone understands. So NOAA is proud of our work here in Alaska, but like other organizations, we're always looking for ways to improve. And through this cooperative conservation effort, I'm sure we'll be able to find ways to improve and expand our services here in this area.

2.2

Thanks very much for having me. I look forward to your comments.

MR. CASE: Thank you, Mr. Keeney. At each one of these sessions we invite someone to give a presentation that captures the spirit of cooperative conservation, a local project. And today we are fortunate to have the President of the Alaska Federation of Natives, Julie Kitka, to make a presentation. Julie.

MS. KITKA: Thank you. Good morning. Can you hear me? Great. First of all, I would like to express appreciation on behalf of our board of directors and our membership for the opportunity to participate and share some thoughts.

In 2005 Thomas Friedman wrote a book called The World is Flat, a Brief History of the 21st Century.

Many of you may have read that recent best seller. And while you may agree or disagree with the author, he does make some interesting points.

I'll quote: "Reflecting on this past decade and a half during which the world went flat, it strikes me that our lives have been powerfully shaped by two dates: 11/9 and 9/11. These two dates represent the two competing forms of imagination at work in the world today: The creative imagination of 11/9 and the destructive imagination of 9/11. One brought down the Berlin Wall and opened the windows of the world, both the operating system and the kind we look through. It unlocked half the planet and made its citizens there our potential partners and competitors.

"Another brought down the World Trade Center, closing its Windows on the World Restaurant forever and putting up new, invisible concrete walls among people at a time when we thought 11/9 had eased them for good.

"The dismantling of the Berlin Wall on 11/9 was brought about by people who dared to imagine a different, more open world, one where every human being would be free to realize his or her full potential and who then summoned the courage to act

1 on that imagination."

Further, he goes on. There has never been a time in history where the character of human imagination wasn't important. It's never been more important than now because in a flat world, so many of the inputs and tools of collaboration are becoming commodities available to everyone. They

are all out there for anyone to grasp. There is one thing, though, that can never be and has never been commoditized, and that is imagination.

Imagination is not about reruns. It has to be about writing our own new scripts, looking forward, not back. Today I want you to imagine a future, building on all the good efforts that have gone on which are the subject of this listening conference. I want you to imagine all of us taking a gigantic leap forward and figuring out how we can encourage ourselves and all those we work and live with to form productive outcomes that advance and unite civilization, using all our skills and imagination. That is the essence, in my view, why cooperative conservation is so vital. We are building for the future.

So with that, let me begin. The Alaska Federation of Natives was organized in response to

the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act claims issue that emerged after statehood in 1959. The state was poised to select 100,000,000 acres of land. Much of Alaska's more than 365,000,000 acres was then occupied and used by Alaska Natives and had been for thousands of years. Early leaders knew if we did not fight to retain aboriginal lands, we would be in danger of losing our homelands forever.

On December 18, 1971, the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act was signed into law by President Nixon to settle our longstanding claims. For extinguishing aboriginal title, Alaska Natives were compensated with fee simple title to 44,000,000 acres of land and nearly one billion in cash for lands lost to the state, federal, and private interests. The Act created 13 for-profit regional corporations and more than 200 village corporations to receive and oversee the land and money entitlements.

We as Alaska Natives have now had over 34 years immersed in capitalism and modifying and using the corporate structure. We have learned a lot during this period of time and continue to build our capacity. Because of our land claims, we have a stake in our future which is unshakable.

0024 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

0023 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Alaska Natives currently comprise approximately 21 percent of the state's total population. Only four other states in the nation -- Oklahoma, New Mexico, South Dakota, and Montana -- have Native American populations greater than seven percent of the total state population. Thousands of Alaska Natives still live off the land, taking 90 percent or more of what they eat every year from the land and sea. Our people are among the last remaining Native Americans still living on their ancestral lands. In fact, if you could imagine some of our

11 12 communities having continuous occupancy since before the pyramids were built, you would understand how long people have been where they are at.

2.0

2.3

1 2

In terms of land ownership, together the state and federal government control more than 85 percent of the land in the state, while Alaska Natives retain about 12 percent, making us the largest group of private landowners in the state. Much of our land is surrounded by and adjacent to federal lands.

Let me talk about co-management. Because of our ties to the land and the critical importance of subsistence to our long-term survival, we have been particularly active over the last several decades in pursuing a meaningful role in the management and

conservation of our fish and wildlife resources through partnerships with the federal and state governments.

Here are four examples. We are actively and successfully co-managing the subsistence use and conservation of marine mammals. Two, through international treaties signed by the United States, we have a role as co-equals with state and federal managers in the subsistence management of migratory birds and polar bears. Three, the Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission continues to be an essential partner with the federal government and international bodies in the management of bowhead whales. And fourth, the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council enters into agreements with tribal governments for harvest monitoring, local area planning, and other issues affecting the subsistence harvest of halibut in Alaska.

These partnerships have created jobs and opportunities in our villages and have provided more appropriate, more efficient, and more equitable management of the resources. The Department of Interior has recognized the importance of co-management in the conservation of marine mammals. It is supported through several Congress amendments

to the Marine Mammal Protection Act that were negotiated by and between NOAA, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, the Marine Mammal Commission, and the Native community that would not only increase spending for co-management activities, but would also provide an opportunity for Alaska Native organizations at our own initiative to develop enforceable management plans in cooperation with the appropriate federal managing agent aimed at avoiding depletion of marine mammal stocks. We talked about ANILCA cooperative agreements. Section 809 of Title VIII of ANILCA also authorizes cooperative management among federal agencies, the state, and the Native corporations and other parties to effectuate the purposes and policies of Title VIII.

A number of cooperative agreements have been implemented since 1992, notably with AVCP in the

18 Bethel area, Bristol Bay Native Association, the 19 Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments and Tanana 20 Chiefs Conferences. These agreements have primarily 21 involved community harvest data collection and 22 cooperative management planning.

2.3

2.5

2.0

1 2

Imagine a giant leap forward in co-management. Imagine federal and state policy makers embracing the concept in the context of management of

subsistence uses of most fish and game. Imagine a broadening of co-management in Alaska which would dramatically move hundreds of thousands of dollars and much human energy away from litigation of us suing each other that has plagued the subsistence debate for the past three decades. This is what we believe expansion and broadening of co-management will do.

What a national model Alaska could become with broadened co-management offering the best strategy for future management and conservation of Alaska resources and showing the world a way which can be scaled up and replicated.

Now let me talk about contracting and compacting. AFN has urged this and previous administrations to expand contracting and compacting of the federal Indian Self-Determination and Education Act, both to increase the efficiency of service delivery and to provide critical economic opportunities for Alaska's Native people.

We have proposed legislation which would create an Alaska Federal Lands Management Demonstration Project. This bill is currently House Bill 1810 pending in the Congress. And we urge the Department to revisit its position in respect to this

legislation and work with us and our congressional delegation to eliminate barriers to more effective partnerships that would expand Native contracting by the federal government in Alaska.

On the issue of Native hire, Congress, through Sections 1307 and 1308 of ANILCA, directed the Secretary of Interior to establish programs whereby the federal government would give preference to Native landowners in locating conservation service unit facilities. More than two decades have passed

since ANILCA was enacted, and the contracting and Native hire provisions of the Act remain largely unfulfilled by the Department.

We urge the Secretary to support legislation that would ensure implementation of Sections 1307 and 1308 of ANILCA and promote innovative management strategies designed to lead to greater efficiencies in conservation systems in Alaska, expand Alaska Native contracting opportunities, increase local employment, and further the unique purposes of the conservation system units as they relate to

subsistence practices, Alaska Native culture, and the conservation of fish and wildlife habitat and populations.

On the issues of endangered species

conservation, Alaska's tribes, Native corporations, and nonprofits have undertaken conservation projects on their land for endangered, threatened, and other at-risk species through U.S. Fish & Wildlife's private steward's grant program. I believe the Secretary mentioned one of them with the Cook Inlet Tribal Council, but we have others between the Nature Conservatory -- never can get that right -- of Alaska and the Yakutat tribe, among others, in regard to shore birds and stellar sea lions.

As among Alaska's largest private landowners, we support the Department's efforts to foster private stewardship through grants to landowners.

On the subsistence issue, I'd like to briefly address the issue of subsistence. Today the only significant protection for our way of life is Title VIII of ANILCA, which provides a priority for subsistence uses over competing commercial and sport uses of fish and game to residents of rural Alaska. The federal protections in ANILCA were the fulfillment of promises made by the United States to Alaska Natives during the settlement of our land claims.

To give you an idea how important this is to our people, we had a conference a few years ago in

which one of our elders said hunger knows no law. And that is how deeply subsistence means to our people. And Title VIII is the only remaining piece of legislation that protects that right.

Today we feel powerful forces are at work in Alaska to weaken this law. Aside from litigation challenging the federal regulations implementing the subsistence priority and the state's lawsuit challenging the regulations that extend the federal subsistence program to federally reserved waters of Alaska, the State of Alaska has recently set about to alter the way the Federal Subsistence Board makes its decisions, to substantially undermine the role of the regional advisory councils created under ANILCA, and to advocate for policy changes that will weaken the current level of federal protections.

We strongly support the regional advisory councils authorized by Section 809 and urge the Department to do everything they can to strengthen, not weaken, their decision-making authority.

I appreciate the opportunity to share some of our thoughts on cooperative management and conservation and some of the ways in which we believe we can create local participation in federal decision making in Alaska.

2.0

In closing, let me reference Thomas Friedman again. Let us not underestimate our strengths or the innovation that could explode from the flat world when we really do connect all the knowledge centers together. On such a flat earth, the most important attribute you can have is creative imagination, the ability to be the first one on your block to figure out how all these enabling tools can be put together in new and exciting ways.

While your lives have been powerfully shaped by 9/11, the world needs you to be forever the generation of 11/9, the generation of strategic optimists, the generation of more dreams than memories, the generation that wakes up each morning and not only imagines that things can be better, but also acts on that imagination every day. Let's make that gigantic leap forward today building partnerships for the future.

Thank you for listening to me.

MR. CASE: Thank you, Ms. Kitka. We're ready to open up the session. I'd like to remind you that as you came in, you should have gotten a card with information on it, including a number. We are going to start with No. 1 in just a moment. I would ask you to please come to the

microphone, this microphone over here; state your
name; spell your last name, please; where you are
from; if you represent an organization, what

organization that is.

As I mentioned, the court reporter will be capturing all the information and all of the proceedings today. We do have to limit you to two and a half minutes plus the 30 seconds, so about three minutes. Again, our apologies in advance for having to interrupt folks, but it's important to give everyone a chance to say at least something.

As you know, my job is to keep everything moving along and on topic. And of course the topic today is cooperative conservation. And you have that -- I believe on the back of the card that you got today, there is information questions to help frame some of the comments.

With that before we get to No. 1, I'd like to ask two people to welcome us here. First Jen Yuhas from the Mayor of Fairbanks' office. Jen.

MS. YUHAS: Thank you. On behalf of the Mayor of the Fairbanks North Star Borough, who was called away unexpectedly and couldn't be here this morning, it is with enthusiasm that I welcome you to the cooperative conservation listening

1 session.

2 And to the Department, we would like to extend

our gratitude for the cooperation that we have

enjoyed under the present Administration. That wasn't something we enjoyed under the previous Administration. And extend our confidence that Secretary Kempthorne will be continuing that cooperation.

1 2

To our audience, I hope that we understand the importance of Secretary Kempthorne traveling to us to hear from us. Your input is vitally important to the decisions that the Secretary and this panel will be making that affect our daily lives, and we do think they're very importantly or very -- you know what I'm trying to say. Welcome.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Next, Tim Barry from the governor's office. And I would comment that if you do have written comments, even if you're not using all of them, if you could provide those to the court reporter, that would be great, either when you speak or afterwards so she can use that to make sure she gets everything right. So thanks.

MR. BARRY: My name is Tim Barry. 25 I'm special assistant to the Commissioner of the 0034

Alaska Department of Fish & Game. I have comments, substantive comments as well, but you wanted me to use this opportunity to welcome the Secretary and our guests and everyone to this listening session. And the Commissioner of Fish & Game regrets that he's unable to be here, as well, as well is busy in Juneau, but looking forward to a constructive morning of comments.

MR. CASE: Thank you. We are going to start with No. 1. If I call your number and you don't want to come up, I'll just -- if you hesitate, I'll skip on past you. No. 1. Please spell your name for us.

MS. BERGE: Hi. My name is Anna Berge, B-E-R-G-E. I'm from Fairbanks. I'm representing myself as an individual. And I have to apologize because I didn't realize I was supposed to look at these questions, so my comments are not related to that.

I just wanted to share personal experiences that I've had with the refuge. I wish I could talk about the Teshekpuk Lake, and I hope in the future I can. I have been out to the refuge twice now. I've spent one month each time walking from the coastal plain down across the mountains to the boreal

forest. I've seen all of the different habitats. I've seen the refuge for animals.

I also wanted to point out that it's not just the refuge for animals, that there are traces of humanity going back thousands of years, from stone circles to modern day Pepsi cans. Humans have been an integral part of it. And I have had very different experiences there. The first year we saw

lots of big game animals. This year we concentrated on birds on the tundra and mosquitoes. We have had challenges both times that were created for us by nature in trying get over an unforeseen mountain barrier to dealing with weather problems. These are things that are completely out of our control.

2.4

And the lessons that I've taken back with me have stayed with me; that there are places where humanity is not number one, where we can't manage everything, where life is bigger than us. And we have to learn to live with that.

The amazing thing is that I come back as a better person for having been there and for realizing that there is a place in the world where I can go that's not managed, that's not taken care of, that I have to learn about.

And what I want to, I guess, mention is to me

the refuge is a refuge for humanity and humans, as well as for animals. And without places like this -- and I don't know of any place that's as special to me, but also where I can spend an extended period of time learning to be humble, and without places like this I think humanity is doomed.

I really want to push for the protection of refuges for both animals and humans. Thank you very much.

MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 2.
MS. AHTUANGARUAK: Hello. My name is
Rosemary Athuangaruak, A-H-T-U-A-N-G-A-R-U-A-K. I'm
from Nuiqsut. We are 60 miles out of Prudhoe Bay on
the west side. We are 130 miles out of Barrow to
the southeast.

Our village is becoming surrounded by oil and gas development. It has greatly changed how we interact in our community and in the natural environment around us. Nuiqsut means someplace beautiful on the horizon. It is a rich, biologically nourishing place that has sustained our people for generations. The knowledge that our elders has shared with us as children, we have grown up to live and survive today. However, our survival is at risk with the developments around us. The

industrial developments around us change how we live and subsist in these areas.

We are a very strong Inupiat culture, surviving on subsistence and whaling. The lands and waters around us have fed our families. The western lifestyle is very expensive. It's very costly to get those kinds of foods into our area. Without these foods, it changes the health of our people. Industrial activity has caused changes to the health of our people. There are emissions and discharges that are occurring that changes the health of us, the animals, the fish and wildlife that we depend on.

We have seen an increase to upper respiratory problems, asthma, dietary disorders, and other illnesses that are coming to our community. There are exemptions that are made to laws that allow emissions to occur at levels that make Nuiqsut have air quality the way it is in Washington, D.C. Before oil and gas development, our area was natural. There was no western technology in this

2.1

We lived off the land with the animals and the foods, and we shared the knowledge to our children. We are very proactive in educating our families into

the lifestyle. This is what has sustained us. It has kept us from starvation moons as our elders have seen in the past. This is something that is threatening our lifetime. We have had impacts to whaling activities with seismic activity at Camden Bay. It causes increased strike distances, increased tow times, and conflict with activities in these areas that are harmful to our whaling activities.

Whaling is very difficult. It's a very harsh environment. It's very dangerous to be out there. You add activities that cause the whales to become more aggressive with seismic activity, it reacts to the safety of our whalers. We have had impacts to the near shore environment that affect our fish. Causeways and island development for pads and oil and gas development has affected our fishing. The arctic system has been impacted by these things. Pipelines have affected the caribou migration.

My son is 22 years old. He was eight years old the last time the big herds have come through our village. They used to come right through the airport. My younger two children have not seen the big herds like my older son has. This causes us to travel farther to hunt. It causes us increased

hunting events and decreased harvest. When we don't have the foods in our ice cellars to pull out during arctic winters, there aren't many resources for us to return to.

Working in the industrial environment, very few people have been successful in getting jobs. We were promised 50 jobs with Alpine. We planned for 14 acres of development at Alpine. Within five years, we have over 200 acres. We have a runway, we have eight miles of road. We have three boat pads. These are things that we didn't plan for. Industry did not truthfully share what was coming to us.

We are very concerned about what has happened to us in our community. What's going to happen to the other Inupiat communities if development occurs in Teshekpuk Lake. Teshekpuk Lake is a very rich biologically diverse area. It is home to the nursery grounds for many birds that travel to other

continents. It is the nursery ground for the Teshekpuk herd. We depend on these animals to feed our families. We can't pay for the cost of western foods to feed our families.

2.4

1 2

2.0

2.1

The changes that have already occurred have greatly impacted how we interact in our community. It affects how we educate our children, how we show

them how to hunt and subsist our traditional foods. It affects how our community unity is going. It is dividing us in many ways. It's very difficult for us to interact and communicate with all the changes that are occurring. The cumulative effects are devastating us in many ways that we have not well understood. There aren't enough studies to effectively plan and understand what's already been approved for development, yet what is coming with this new lease sale. And we are very concerned.

MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 3.

MR. SCHOEN: Good morning. My name is John Schoen. I'm the senior scientist with Audubon Alaska. My name is S-C-H-O-E-N. Doesn't spell like it sounds. I'd like to thank you distinguished guests for being here and Secretary Kempthorne. This is a very important event. We appreciate it very much.

I'd like also to speak about Teshekpuk Lake, but I'll come to it from a science background. But before I do, let me just be very clear that Audubon Alaska and myself personally use oil and gas products. We recognize the value of the oil and gas industry to Alaska and we believe that oil and gas development on the North Slope is reasonable, but it

needs to be done in balance with conservation and the kinds of things that Rosemary talked about.

The Teshekpuk Lake area is one of the most unique wetland complexes in the Arctic. I want to focus just on two elements, molting geese and the Teshekpuk Lake caribou herd. Molting geese are flightless. They lose their flight feathers and are very sensitive to disturbance.

Caribou, as we have learned with the Central Arctic herd near Prudhoe Bay and Kuparuk, can be displaced from important calving areas and their movements to insect relief habitat can be disrupted, and that has happened. The Natural Resource Council has confirmed what other scientists have said about the Central Arctic herd. The mitigation measures for the area north and east of Teshekpuk Lake, we feel, are inadequate to protect these very valuable resources.

The Teshekpuk caribou herd is the most important caribou herd to the people of the North Slope for subsistence. This vast wetlands complex with all these individual lakes make movement by caribou quite -- quite a tenuous adventure as they

24 travel through these narrow corridors. And of
25 course, the lakes provide security for the sensitive
0042

1 molting geese.

2.3

We believe that the combination of an infrastructure, an incremental sprawl of development as it moves through that area, it's not going to be one simple Alpine development. I have been to Alpine. I'm impressed with Alpine. But it's going to be connected with gravel roads, with pipelines. And the combination of that kind of incremental sprawl with climate change is going to be cumulative and synergistic. So those issues have not been —there is not adequate mitigation.

The risk to those important resources, we believe, is quite significant. And all of the scientists that are addressing this issue from state and federal agencies and universities, I suggest that you speak with them, you understand what they are saying, and try to incorporate their concerns into development on the North Slope. And my recommendation, our recommendation is that leasing, which is imminent, be rescinded and really focus on hearing what the people of the North Slope Borough are telling you and really listen to the scientists. Thank you very much.

MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 4. If you 25 see your number starting to come up, if you could 0043

come up and take a seat up here close, we'll save a little bit of time. Thank you.

MR. STRATTON: Good morning,
Mr. Secretary, members of the panel. My name is Jim
Stratton, S-T-R-A-T-T-O-N. I'm the Alaska Regional
Director for the bipartisan National Parks
Conservation Association, a leading voice in
protecting and enhancing our National Park System
for present and future generations.

I have lived in Alaska since right after the Alaska Lands Act passed, and I currently make my home in Anchorage.

Mr. Secretary, NPCA would like to thank you for your recent decision to finalize a strong set of management policies for the National Park Service that both protects our National Park heritage and is respectful of the local communities. This positive action exemplifies your fresh leadership at Interior and is a strong example of how cooperative conservation should work. We look forward to seeing the final version soon.

The Alaska Lands Act protected for future generations some of America's most outstanding natural features as National Parks, monuments and preserves, and it directed the Park Service to

1 manage these areas to sustain our unique Alaskan
2 lifestyle, including provisions for subsistence

hunting by rural residents and sport hunting in our national preserves. These Alaska-specific management challenges, coupled with the unique budget challenges of managing Alaska's larger remote parks, such as park rangers needing small planes for basic patrolling, speak to the need for a budget increase, not only for National Parks in Alaska, but for parks all across the country as they struggle with an annual operational fund shortfall in excess of \$600,000,000.

Mr. Secretary, your support for park funding was voiced last Friday in Yellowstone when you announced the National Park Centennial Challenge on the 90th birthday of the National Park Service.

NPCA looks forward to working closely with you as you develop the details as we move towards the Park Service 100th birthday in 2016. This initiative is a great opportunity for you to make your mark on the National Park Service in a manner and significance not available to many Interior secretaries, and a significant investment in the Park Service will be a great first step.

One unique issue in Alaska is the need to

adequately fund wildlife population science and harvest data for animals taken in Alaskan units of our National Park System. Congress made it very clear that hunting is an allowed activity, and it also made very clear that the Park Service must sustain natural and healthy wildlife populations. NPCA is not claiming that there is currently any problem, nor do we see that hunting is a threat to park resources, but according to our analysis, the Park Service lacks the necessary resources to monitor wildlife populations and harvest levels to make certain that there is no problem. And should wildlife populations drop below what is natural and healthy, the opportunity to hunt would be at risk.

Our full analysis is detailed in a new report we released just two weeks ago called, Who's Counting? How Insufficient Support for Science is Hindering National Park Wildlife Management in Alaska. I'd be glad to give you this copy. This lack of data is not an indication of a lack of interest on the part of the National Park Service, but is rather indicative of the funding shortfalls that affect the entire National Park System. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 5.

2.2

MR. ROSS: My name is Don Ross from Fairbanks. I represent myself. I call this Teshekpuk Lake Adieu. It fits the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. It fits the Yukon Flats land exchange. Drill it all, drill it all, so there is nothing left but appall. These children will say when we kneel down to pray, you appall, you appall.

8 You left nothing unscratched so no nestlings could 9 hatch. Why did you bugger it all? Bugger all, 10 bugger all. The long and the short and the tall. 11 You made such a muss, you left nothing for us. Why 12 did you bugger it all. 13 Bugger all, bugger all. The long and the shor

2.2

2.3

Bugger all, bugger all. The long and the short and the tall. It would really be nice if you'd thought more than twice then went buggering away at the mall. At the mall, at the mall.

There is no more to say but to bid you good day. Thank you all, thank you all, you long, you short, you tall.

MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 6.

MR. PAQUIN. My name is Larry Paquin. Last name is spelled P-A-Q-U-I-N. I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to give some testimony here.

I'd like to kind of answer the question: How can the federal government enhance wildlife

protection in Alaska? I'll be specific. Teshekpuk Lake in the National Petroleum Reserve was established as a wildlife refuge in 1977 by the Secretary of Interior. There is a reason why.

Around this lake, not far from Barrow near the Arctic coast there is a complex of wetlands that support migratory birds and Teshekpuk Lake caribou. The people of Barrow and other places in that region depend on this area heavily for their resources. BLM's flawed oil drilling plan by the lake is opposed by scientists from Alaska Fish & Wildlife Service, University of Alaska scientists, and others around who have seen it for its rich area, and they don't want to see it exploited. Previous Alaska Administrations and the North Slope Borough all oppose drilling around the lake.

While most of the National Petroleum Reserve is open to oil drilling and exploration, some should be kept off from the drilling plan. Here is a closer list to -- anyway, a person should -- in your group here should be listening more closely to the voices of conservation because, like I say, a lot of these areas are open in the National Petroleum Reserve. This is not an area that should be exploited. If you want to really live up to the slogan you have

there, cooperative conservation, you have got to listen to the people who are giving you good advice and saying don't drill in the Teshekpuk Lake area.

BLM's drilling strategy is especially destructive in the northeast part of it where there is a surrounding area, a complex of small lakes where there is molting wild foul, especially molting geese and the Teshekpuk Lake caribou. This especially fertile area is threatened also by climate change, by land erosion, and if you add the oil drilling to the area that these -- especially the migratory water fowl and the Teshekpuk Lake

caribou depend on, then you are exacerbating a condition that should not be touched.

There are renewable resources from these wildlife from caribou, from the water foul that the people depend on. They have depended on them for millennium. And like I say, they are renewable. But if you sacrifice this area for a nonrenewable resource, when it's done, what will the people do who depend on this area?

22 MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 7? No. 8?

23 No. 9?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0050

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24 MS. MILLER: Good morning. Thank you 25 for coming to Fairbanks. We are glad to have you 0049

here. My name is Debbie Miller, M-I-L-E-R. And I've lived in Alaska for 30 years. I'm here representing myself as an author, as a mother, as a teacher, and also I am a founding board member of the Alaska Wilderness League and a representative for the newly formed Friends of Alaska's National Wildlife Refuges. We hope to be working closely with all of the 16 wildlife refuges providing volunteers where needed. This summer I know we worked at the Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge on the invasive weed pulling program, which was pretty tedious, but we had a number of volunteers working there. So this has just been formed in the last year.

I'd like to comment about living in Alaska and experiencing the wilderness and wildlife in the -- a number of wildlife refuges that I have visited, specifically my favorite, the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. I feel very privileged to have lived in Arctic Village, a Gwich'in Athabaskan Indian speaking village, where I taught school. I was given a wonderful opportunity. During the summers my husband and I would take off and hike for many, many weeks at a time exploring this vast area. The coastal plain I've walked across a number of

times: the Okpilak River, the Egaksrak River, the Aichilik River, the Hulahula River, the Katakturuk River.

These are rivers that flow from the crest of Brooks Range all the way to the Arctic Ocean that offer an incredible habitat for birds that come from six continents, one of the largest caribou herds. Polar bears den in this area. You as steward of these lands have a tremendous responsibility and a daunting task to convince your President, your boss, that we should not drill in a sensitive area like the coastal plain of the Arctic Refuge. such a special place and we have no business building roads and pipelines and putting in drilling platforms in an area that's a birthplace for so many different species. So this is a daunting task that

15 16

17 you have to advise the Administration that we have been in the wrong direction for a number of years.

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

0052 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I have worked on this issue for 25 years. We have -- many of us have worked to protect this area, and we would hope that you would try to convince President Bush that there are many other less sensitive places to drill for oil. There are many other alternatives in terms of alternative energy, renewable energy. We do not need to go into 0051

wildlife refuges and put in oil fields. It violates the purposes of the Arctic Refuge.

It violates international treaty obligations with respect to protecting polar bear denning habitat, caribou calving habitat, migratory birds that come from all these continents. We are violating treaties. We are violating the very purposes for why we established the Arctic Refuge if we allow drilling there.

So this is very important and I urge you to work specifically on this issue and the others that folks have mentioned with regard to Teshekpuk Lake. It's not a refuge, but it actually should be.

MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 9. MS. DEWITT: Hello. My name is Nancy DeWitt, D-E-W-I-T-T, former Boise girl and a 15-year resident of Fairbanks. I work for the Alaska Bird Observatory, which is a nongovernmental organization located right here in Fairbanks.

Since 1992 we have cooperated with our state and federal resource agencies on numerous projects that enhance wildlife and habitat conservation. These have included projects performed through cooperative agreements, contracts, challenge cost

share grants, and state wildlife grants.

Grants that require matching funds can be very effective at attracting private dollars and volunteer support. In fact, in our experience these grants can build fabulous cooperation by a wide range of private, government, and nonprofit partners. However, Alaska's small population and modest number of corporations and private foundations substantially limit the ability of Alaska NGOs to raise matching support for challenge grants.

I was very distressed to learn that the matching requirement for state wildlife grants is going up from 25 percent to 100 percent effective October 1st. This will severely lessen the ability of NGOs to participate in the state wildlife grant program, which is very ironic when you consider NGOs probably provide the most cost-effective conservation services that the government can buy.

The Presidential Conference on Cooperative Conservation last year identified eliminating barriers to cooperation and existing policy as one of three ways to improve conservation results; yet raising the state wildlife grant match requirement to 100 percent creates a barrier, not just for NGOs, 

2.1

but will hamper the ability of the Alaska Department of Fish & Game to implement our state's new wildlife conservation plan.

The Pittman-Robinson and Dingell-Johnson programs for conservation of game animals require a 25 percent match, so why set the bar higher for conservation of nongame species, especially those species at risk.

In closing, I would like to state that cooperative conservation is a great concept, but if in the end science and public and professional opinion are ignored by the policy makers, as is being done with critical habitat north of Teshekpuk Lake, it's just a huge waste of many good people's time and money.

Thank you for this opportunity to speak today. MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 10.

MR. KEIM: My name is Frank Keim, K-E-I-M. I've been in Fairbanks and the Lower Yukon Delta since 1961. All right. I'm representing myself and my family. Okay. And it's against the Yukon Flats land exchange. All right.

Secretary Kempthorne, have you heard of the Rampart Dam Project? I imagine you have. This plan to construct a dam across the Yukon River that would

have flooded the Yukon Flats is one of the most harebrained schemes ever proposed by the United States Government. The Yukon Flats land exchange is yet another harebrained idea which, if brought to reality, would permanently damage the Yukon Flats.

On the surface this deal, which would exchange our public wildlife refuge lands in the Yukon Flats for Doyon Corporation lands, has been touted as the best thing that ever hit Interior Alaska, but it is affirmatively not. Not only was this bad deal -- and I emphasize it is a bad deal -- crafted in secret between Doyon Corporation and Fish & Wildlife Service without public review or comment, but if drilled by Doyon for oil and gas, one of the world's premier wildlife refuges would be immeasurably polluted.

And remember, this is a place where I'm told millions of geese, ducks, swans, cranes, and other species of birds nest, not to mention how the drilling would disrupt countless other forms of wild animals and fish and the native people who depend upon them for their subsistence.

Recently I flew over the part of the refuge where Doyon wants to drill for oil and gas. It's predominantly an upland area. And know what gravity

does, we can only imagine what would happen over the

course of the 30-year life of the oil and gas fields. If you think the recent terrible BP oil spills on the North Slope were bad, you better think twice about this one.

Drilling for oil and gas is simply not compatible with the purpose of the refuge. Furthermore, this land exchange would set a terrible precedent for allowing future oil and gas development on refuges and other conservation system units in Alaska and the Lower 48.

For these reasons and one more, Secretary Kempthorne, you should not allow this land exchange to take place. The final reason you shouldn't allow it is that all the villages in the Yukon Flats, except one with only 30 people, are against this exchange.

And I have a postscript. The absolute bottom line to all of this, Mr. Secretary, is that we must wean ourselves off our oil and gas addiction as soon as possible so that we don't have to sacrifice wild lands such as the Yukon Flats. It's one of your responsibilities to see that this happens. Thanks.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Number 11.

25 No. 12?

2.0

2.1

MS. MAYO: Sorry. I'm No. 11. My name is Gail Mayo, M-A-Y-O. Thank you, Secretary Kempthorne, for giving us an opportunity to express our concerns directly to you, and thank you for listening. I represent Arctic Audubon, an Audubon chapter whose 300 members cover much of the area north from the Alaska Range to the Arctic Ocean.

This morning we want to urge you to cancel the upcoming lease sales in the Teshekpuk Lake area in the northeast portion of the Alaska Petroleum Reserve. This area is well documented as an important wildlife area by numerous studies and censuses, many produced by scientists working in Interior departments. Further, the bird life dependent on this area during critical stages of their yearly and/or life cycles disperse throughout North America, providing sustenance and enjoyment to countless people of the entire 48 -- I mean, United States.

The Teshekpuk Lake and its surrounding area are truly unique, as the Grand Canyon is unique in the American West. If oil development were to proceed in this area, the networks of access roads would fracture the habitat and the potential for environmental damage from oil industry activities

would be a continual threat.

I am very fond of sayings, and this is a very familiar one: "To err is human." Oil industries' record has proven that, especially with the events of the last year, this is true. The rest of the saying applies directly to the Teshekpuk Lake area

and to the Arctic Refuge, as well.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0058 1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

0059

3

4

5

6

7

8

We urge you, Senator Kempthorne, to be long sighted, to consider the entire picture versus short sighted industry demands. To love is divine.

MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 12.

MS. BEACH: Hello. My name is Luci Beach, and I'm the executive director of the Gwich'in Steering Committee. The Gwich'in Steering Committee was authorized by the Gwich'in Nation to represent the Gwich'in Nation in regards to Iizhik Gwats'an Gwandaii Goodlit, the Sacred Place Where Life Begins.

In 1988 when the people of the Gwich'in Nation heard of the threat of oil development in the calving and nursery grounds of the Porcupine caribou herd, we gathered as a nation and took our stand to protect this place that has been of importance since time immemorial. At one time we were a nomadic people and we followed the Porcupine caribou herd,

not unlike the symbol of the department that you are now secretary of.

We are like the Plains Indians and the buffalo. When we became -- when we settled into permanent communities, we located our communities along the migration route of the Porcupine caribou herd. my own family there is stories of three famines that we survived, and never once did we go up into the calving and nursery grounds because we consider this place so sacred.

I have to say that I was disappointed when I saw your schedule that you did not schedule a meeting in one of our communities. We are not a random group of people opposed to development in an Arctic Refuge. It is the entire Gwich'in Nation, and this position was reiterated at our recent biennial gathering. We still depend on this. for us, this is a human rights issue that we be allowed to continue our way of life that we have known all these many years.

And in your consideration, I would hope that you would not only listen -- not only hear, but also listen, and at some point we invite you to come to one of our communities to hear from us and hopefully to hear with an open heart. This area that we are

1 talking about is one of the last available places 2 for development on the North Slope. When I look at maps now of what's available, the entire North Slope and the outer continental shelf, it's like an invasion. And when I talk to my friends from the North Slope, I feel very saddened that their way of life is so very threatened in my own lifetime and that we are looking at the effects of climate change which we now know has been confirmed by the National 10 Academy of Science is directly related to fossil 11 fuel.

So it is in the best interests of not only the Gwich'in Nation, but of the world to leave this place off limits and to know that there are living, breathing people that depend on this renewable resource as we have for so many generations. Mahsi

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0060 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0061 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. CASE: Thank you. 13. MR. THOMPSON: My name is Robert I'm from Kaktovik. Kaktovik is within Thompson. the borders of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. I'm very much opposed to this development -proposed development.

A few days ago from my living room window I could see a seismic ship inside of our village.

it's very clear that the move to develop oil has progressed to the very remotest part of the United States. I think Kaktovik is the very -- most remote village in all of the United States, and now this oil development has gone to that extent. So --

And then we learn about that there was no environmental impact statement done in regards to We are very concerned that this activity is going to affect our whaling. We're having changes due to climate change. There's up to 300 miles of open water, and this activity near the coast may damage the whales' hearing, but the activity most certainly will divert the whales further out. Previously without this climate change, they would have been restricted to more near shore areas, but now that's not the case.

Also on the land, I think the climate change has had very dramatic, drastic effects. The last Fish & Wildlife count, there was one musk ox left, and the Porcupine caribou herd is down 50- to 60,000 animals. So in regards to considering development there, I think that the concept -- the actual happening of climate change should be considered. We are getting rain in the winter at times, and it's affected the habitat. Some things that are

happening with wildlife aren't even anticipated, but one thing leads to another when one animal is dependent on the other. So you should look at these and -- and, you know, when you consider this.

And also the one fact that should be known to all the people in the United States is that this refuge is five percent or less of what's available for oil exploitation on the North Slope. So there is huge amounts of oil separate from the refuge, probably more than can be transferred through the pipeline that's in place. So if the American public were to know this, know these facts that you know, the -- that it's not necessary to develop this, possibly that they would, you know, not -- well, they have been opposed to this and it's been the

15

16 will of the people so far.

17 I appreciate the theme of this conservation; 18 however, when you talk about conservation and our 19 going into some of the last wilderness that the 20 United States has, I question that theme. 21 Conservation would mean that we save some of that 22 for future generations, my people, and all the 23 people of the United States. Thank you. 2.4 MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 14. 2.5 MR. KINGIK: Uvlaalluatag. I welcome 0062 1 you, Senator. I'm an Inupiaq whaler. Thank you for 2 NOAA for giving us the privilege to hunt whale. A 3 few years back International Whaling Commission said no more whaling. And I thank you, NOAA, for giving 5 me a chance. I'm an Inupiaq. I'm a subsistence fisherman. And I'm a Western Arctic Caribou Herd 6 7 Working Group member. And I belong to the RED OIL 8 that is very important to my life. 9 It was good to see young people up front because my young people have the same thoughts, too. 10 11 Their future. It's their future. My message to the 12 Secretary of Interior is cooperative. We need NOAA, 13 EPA, Marine Mammal Fisheries, whoever they are, to 14 learn how to cooperate with the tribal organizations 15 and which President Clinton, having executed an 16 order, to work government to government. We work 17 together and we will cooperate. 18 On the conservation part, us Inupiaq people of 19 the north are conservationists. We conserve our 20 animals and the way we live up here. 21 Thank you. And this is my short message to the 22 Secretary of Interior that we need to learn how to 23 work together as tribes. Tribes got a lot of 24 authority. Alaska preservation. The State-chartered organization. City of Point Hope is 25 0063 1 a State-chartered organization. North Slope Borough 2 is a State-chartered organization. And they are the 3 ones that is going for offshore and seismic studies, or any other oil exploration in my area. My tribe 5 want to work with NOAA. My tribe want to work with 6 EPA. My tribe want to work with U.S. Fish & 7 Wildlife and report to the Secretary of Interior of 8 our decision. So we need to work together. 9 And one more thing, my last statement. 10 from an area called Kamaktuk. That's my clan. And 11 it's sad to report to Western Arctic caribou herd do 12 migrate to the Red Dog Mine. You all probably heard about the most polluted mine in the United States. 13 14 It's called Red Dog Mine. Another one of my 15 regional corporations under the Lands Claims Act 16 that's willing to open the coal mine and the Western 17 Arctic caribou herd insect and relief grounds. 18 That's what I'm going to leave you with. And thank 19 you very much for giving me the time. MR. CASE: Thank you, No. 15. 20

MS. JAMES: My name is Sarah James, and I'm from Arctic Village, Alaska.

2.

2.0

(Statement in Native dialect.) I say, all my relations, behalf of the sacred place where the life begin, not only for the caribou, but all life, I

welcome you to Alaska. My name is Sarah James. I'm a member, the tribal member to Native Village of Venetie. There is two villages on Native Village of Venetie tribal land. We've got 1.8 million acres. And one of them is Arctic Village, and that's where I'm from. Arctic Village is located 110 miles northeast of Alaska -- north of Arctic Circle. And the Gwich'in Nation is covered Northeast Alaska and north of Yukon Territory and coastal plain of the McKenzie delta area of Northwest Territory.

There is 15 villages that speak with one voice since 1988 when the elders got us back together after so many years separation by our border between us. At that time Gwich'in elders direct us to get against the oil and gas development of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. At that time they gave us direction to do this in a good way and no compromise, and we did well.

I'm here on behalf of all American that spoke loud and clear for nine times since 1988 stopping the drilling of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. They come together and we made friends, and that was our direction, to educate the world. That was our direction from the Gwich'in elders at that time.

As a nation, like Luci said, we don't want to repeat the history of the Plains Indians was to the buffalo. The sacred place where the life begin is our subsistence. It's our food, the caribou that born there every year. It's a sacred place. It's the only safe place. It's the only quiet place as a birthplace, as being human, as being a woman, you have to have a quiet place, clean place, a private place to have your baby. The same goes for the animals. That's why come to us, it's a sacred place. (Statement in Native dialect.)

And that's a very important part of the whole ecosystem. We have made a lot of friends through churches, through tribes. We have a lot of resolution right there. That's just only a few of them. I'm going to present it to the Secretary of Interior.

MR. CASE: Thank you.

MS. JAMES: And global warming is clearly real, and doing other development is not reducing the climate change. And I'd like to invite you to all the Gwich'in villages and able to talk directly to them face-to-face, cooperative conservation. Listening to us as Gwich'in, the meeting is face-to-face to face the truth. So you

are invited to all our Gwich'in villages. There is 15 villages. I'm inviting you to Arctic Village. Arctic Village is very nice people. We like people to come and visit us. We will give you a gift for coming to us. And we will have face-to-face meeting.

MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 16. 17,

18, 19, 20?

MR. HARRIS: Bingo. Tom Harris. I'm CEO for Tyonek Native Corporation. H-A-R-R-I-S. And I appreciate the opportunity to visit with you and to express some issues of concern to the community of Tyonek and we believe many Alaskans.

We believe in cooperative conservation. We have had the opportunity to visit in St. Louis in the initial meeting last August. We celebrate the success that the cooperative conservation processes have in the lower 49 states, particularly in supporting private landowners to restore and conserve. We have to share with you that we long for that success here in Alaska because that success is not present here in Alaska.

As an example, Idaho harvested three times the grazing wildlife, or deer family wildlife, than Alaska did last year. On a per-acre basis, Idaho

was ten times more productive than Alaska is. Within 60 miles of your office in D.C., more wildlife was harvested than was harvested in all of Alaska last year of this grazing wildlife. Four states -- Alabama, Wisconsin, Maryland, and Pennsylvania -- on a per-acre basis harvest 100 times what Alaska does. The bag limit in Alabama is 31 deer. Each one of these states has more mining industry than Alaska does.

So clearly, the cooperative conservation efforts that you instituted as a -- as a policy have worked. We have been watching this for right around six years now, but the records go back 25 years. Alaska's moose population is now the lowest on record. And we ask the agencies, all of them, to come together here in Alaska to establish cooperative conservation as it exists in the Lower 48 here in Alaska with the private landowners.

And particularly, we are asking this because it appears for the last -- as far back as we can see, equitable access has been denied, equitable forum has been denied, and equitable opportunity to achieve economic sustainability in our lands has also been denied. We ask for equitable access to these programs, not just as Alaska Natives, but as

Alaskans and as Americans.

I'll wrap up with this comment: We ask for this because today these programs are being provided to Russian immigrants in their own language within

16 miles of where we are today. So with that in mind, thank you for hearing me, and we look forward to future discussions how this might be a solution. Gunalcheesh.

1 2

2.4

MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 20.

MS. REBNE: Thank you. My name is
Brenda Rebne, R-E-B-N-E. I am a tribal member of
the Native Village of Cantwell, Vice President of
Corporate Affairs for Ahtna Regional Corporation,
and representative to the AFN Board for eight state
and federally recognized tribes.

I'm here to urge you to continue to retain ownership of the lands within PLO 5150, the transportation corridor. Those lines are critical to the Ahtna region. Fifty-five miles of the Alaska pipeline runs through four villages and within the Ahtna regional boundaries, our land entitlement. Those lands are particularly important for subsistence hunting. Those are our traditional subsistence hunting areas. And we have very little subsistence rights now of our lands.

We are entitled to 1.7 million acres. We have entitlement to 1.5 million acres. 30,000 of those acres are within what we call the hard park. That's the old park in Denali. And 600,000 acres are within the boundaries of the new park, Wrangell St-Elias National Park and Preserve. Those lands we should be able to use for subsistence as guaranteed under Title VIII. And the reality of it is access is practically impossible. So the right is there in writing in the books, but on the ground we really have a difficult time accessing that, and particularly with other regulations, the new access quide that's come out.

So the lands that are in the PLO 5150 area that I'm speaking of are outside of the park boundaries, and those are critical to our survival as subsistence users. Subsistence is also threatened by populations. Something that there is --

There is a disconnect somewhere understanding what subsistence means. Subsistence is our way of life. Subsistence is how we connect to our lands emotionally, physically, spiritually. It doesn't matter how much money we make. It doesn't matter whether we can own an ATV or can afford an ATV. Our job is to take care of our elders, and we do that by

subsistence. It doesn't matter that I can go to the store and get a beef steak. I don't want a beef steak. I want to be able to eat my traditional foods. And I want to be able to provide those for my elders.

And under the State system now, our rights are getting so infringed upon that it is getting tighter and tighter and harder and harder. So anytime there is any threat to our federal right to subsist on

federal lands, we need to really urge you to please protect those. Please do retain ownership of those lands within the PLO 5150 area. Thank you. MR. CASE: Thank you. 21. MR. MAUER: My name is Fran Mauer, spelled M-A-U-E-R. I'm speaking for myself. Mr. Secretary and your distinguished colleagues, thank you for the opportunity to hear us. I'm a 35-year Alaska resident and retired U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service biologist. The last 21 years of my career were at the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge where I studied caribou and other wildlife. believe I -- as a lover of wilderness and wildlife and the outdoors, I believe I was fortunate to have one of the best jobs in the world for all those years.

2.1

 And I want to add that after countless studies over the past 25 years, the record is clear. There will be significant harm to many species, habitats, subsistence and wilderness resources if oil leasing and development were allowed there. There is strong consensus in the scientific community for this statement. Even the environmental impact statement of 1987 and the report to Congress that was prepared during the Reagan Administration clearly indicated this. It cannot be done in an environmentally friendly manner, as the oil spills and pipeline corrosion at Prudhoe Bay have recently revealed.

During my career, I had several opportunities to personally meet and provide information to some of your predecessors. The first was a former governor from Idaho, Cecil Andrus, and the last was Gail Norton, who I accompanied on a tour of the Arctic Refuge Coastal Plain in 2001.

From such encounters I have learned that it's primarily the job of the Secretary of Interior to strike a balance between development and preservation. The proper balance should take into account that there are some areas of America's Arctic, such as the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and Teshekpuk Lake as examples, that are too

sensitive and should be preserved in their natural condition, while less sensitive areas may be developed using adequate precautions. This is balance.

Our nation and the entire world is at a critical juncture with regard to the use of fossil fuels and global warming. We need leadership to get us to a sustainable energy future, emphasizing conservation efficiency and alternatives. This can be accomplished without sacrificing our natural heritage to pay for the energy research programs. We should not rob from Peter to pay Paul, as some proposed legislation would do.

It would be a colossal tragedy if any of our

15 sensitive Arctic areas were damaged due to a 16 stampede to get more oil, which will only prolong 17 our addiction. The time for treatment is now. We 18 need to keep these natural treasures for the health 19 of our country and those who will follow us. That 20 is conservation in the truest sense, and I urge you 21 to practice it. Thank you. MR. CASE: 2.2 Thank you. 2.3 MS. KREMERS: My name is Carolyn 24 Kremers. That's K-R-E-M-E-R-S. I want to thank you 25 all for coming to Fairbanks. Welcome. And tell you 0073 1 that I grew up in Colorado. I moved to Alaska 20 years ago in 1986 to teach in a Yupik Eskimo 3 village, which is in Western Alaska, the village of Tununak. Now I teach at the University of Alaska 4 5 Fairbanks and in the Fairbanks schools. And I also 6 write. 7 I brought a poem that I'd like to read, and I'm 8 just going to read parts of it. It's called 9 Pictures at an Exhibition, Words for 14 Imagined 10 Photographs of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. 11 One: From the south, river rafts, kayaks, inflatable canoes. From the north, polar bear 12 13 mothers coming to make dens. From the east, 120,000 caribou. From the Platte River, New England, the 14 15 Rocky Mountains, Hawaii, South America, Asia, 16 Africa, Australia, Antarctica, millions of birds. 17 From Kaktovik, Inupiaq hunters traveling by boat or 18 snowmachine. From the Gwich'in, no one. This place 19 is sacred. 20 Five: This destination is far and very wet. 21 Songbirds, mosquitoes, big bumblebees; all are 22 buzzing, humming, singing. Their wings are powered 23 not by oil, but by energy from the sun. Already 24 they have taught us much. Where is our patience to 25 keep listening? 0074 1 Seven: If the people understood better their 2 relationship to the sun, land, sky, and to all the 3 living and nonliving things between, perhaps they would want to protect this place in its entirety, 5 its unity, its unbroken body for their children's 6 children, for the seven generations, in perpetuity 7 until the sun becomes a cinder. 8 Eight: Something in the panorama whispers: No 9 human being owns this place. 10 Fourteen: Observe, says the river. Recognize, consider, remember, heed, bear witness. Embrace. 11 12 Be humbled, amazed. 13 Thank you. 14 MR. CASE: Thank you. 15 MR. MACDONALD: My name is Mark 16 MacDonald, M-A-C-D-O-N-A-L-D. I'm the Episcopal 17 Bishop of Alaska. (Statements in Alaska dialect.) 18 Good morning. I welcome you in the first four

languages of our diocese. We have been here since

19

20 1862, and I'm here to underline what I feel are the 21 most important and urgent principles involved in 22 this issue to the Episcopal Church, and I believe 23 that I represent all of the major spiritual 24 traditions of our planet. 25

In the early 1900s, the Episcopal Church acted

in concert with Native peoples to protect subsistence fishing on the Yukon. It was a dangerous position to take at the time, but they did it because they felt the principles were critical. In the Project Chariot issue that developed in Point Hope, which you are probably familiar with, it was the church again believed that it had a compelling interest in acting with the people. More recently in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, we feel the same.

The principles are easy, but important. believe, along with the major spiritual and legal traditions of our planet, that there is a unique relationship between aboriginal peoples and the land, a symbiotic relationship that is God given and must be honored by all of the nations. This very important relationship mirrors the living symbiotic relationship that all humanity has, again, a relationship that we believe is God given and carries with it the authority of the ruler of history. We believe that it is absolutely essential that we recognize this unique relationship of the Native peoples to the lands of Alaska, that that would -- that always has to be a consideration when looking at conservation, particularly in terms of

cooperative conservation.

0075 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0076 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And we also believe that it is an urgent need for all of us to recognize this relationship that all humanity has. We believe that it's difficult for our society to see that relationship because we have somehow forgotten how essential it is to our future that we be properly related to the world around us. Thank you very much.

MR. CASE: Thank you.

MS. ZALAR: Good morning. My name is Mary Zalar, Z-A-L-A-R. I first came to Alaska in 1973 when I was in my early 20s and returned in '76 and '79 and moved to Fairbanks permanently in 1982. And I think Secretary Kempthorne used a word in your introductory remarks that -- it's true for me. reacted to the magnificence of this state. that's what kept bringing me back and that is what makes me so privileged to live here is our magnificent, beautiful natural state.

And it's not the magnificence of the oil fields or the pipeline that have nourished my spirit and my heart, but the natural landscape, the wild lands, the wildlife, the bird life, the fish. These are the things I treasure.

25 I think that our country is a rich country. I 0077

2.3

2.5

2.

think we have the wealth to respect and to save some of these lands and not have to exploit each area that we find mineral resources, oil or gas. It's rich enough to save these areas for the benefit of the future and the benefit of all of us.

So what do I think we can do to enhance -- the federal government to enhance wildlife habitat and species protection? How can the federal government better respect the interests of people like myself.

And I would urge you to do three things: Value our natural landscapes, at least as much, if not more than, the other natural resources that we seem so urgently to want to need.

Concentrate, consolidate the development. There are so many areas of our Arctic coast that are open to oil development, places that there still are oil that we can continue to extract and to meet the needs of our society, as we should be and, as others have said, we should be moving beyond fossil fuels to try to address the issues that are facing us in terms of climate change just adequate supply.

And listen to the scientists, their research, their recommendations. I have great respect for the work that has been done by the scientists at Audubon Alaska and many others that have identified critical

biological areas in the National Petroleum Reserve Alaska. They have not opposed development throughout the petroleum reserve. They are asking to take seriously the critical habitats around Teshekpuk Lake. And I think that's true also in terms of the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. There has been strong statements about why this area should be respected.

So thanks for being here. Enjoy our state. Thank you for listening because I think what you have heard this morning so far is how much our people value our natural landscape. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 25.

MS. GEMMILL: Good morning. My name is Faith Gemmill. I'm a Gwich'in from Arctic Village, Alaska, and I'm here today to address you as a young Gwich'in mother with two young children, but also on behalf of the Indigenous Environmental Network and the RED OIL Network, which stands for Resisting Environmental Destruction on Indigenous Lands.

I speak today on behalf of RED OIL. We were formed in the year 2002 by Alaska Natives from every region in the state due to the disproportionate effects to our Alaska Native peoples from the fossil

fuel industry on our lands, human and ecological health, subsistence, sovereignty and ways of living.

RED OIL actually has a platform to address oil

and gas issues from a human rights perspective, and we are calling upon the federal government to create real energy policy, not energy policy that continues on with a drill-it-all mentality. This country needs to begin to look towards renewable energy now and that would actually respect the indigenous peoples, our homelands, our ways of life, the health of our communities and our future generations because it's our future generations that will pay a very dear price from this unsustainable economic development which is on our homelands.

2.

2.0

And when you come to our communities or come to these listening sessions, there should be time allotted to go to the communities that are going to be impacted by these energy proposals. Go to the communities that are going to be impacted and listen to the concerns of the communities and implement that in these proposals. And when a community says no energy development should happen here, that should be the energy policy of the United States because we are bearing the brunt and we are dying from this energy development on our lands. So

that's part of what RED OIL is calling for is true energy policy.

We only own three percent of the world's known oil reserves, yet we consume 25 percent of the world's known oil reserves. There is a serious imbalance. We need true energy policy now that addresses this to have true national security.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  CASE: Ma'am, if we could get you to spell your name.

MS. GEMMILL: G-E-M-M-I-L-L.

MR. CASE: Next is 26.

MS. LEWIS: Hello. My name is Sherry Lewis, L-E-W-I-S. I have been in Alaska since 1975. The U.S., United States, could be a leader in conservation, but money and power of big companies is making the United States short sighted.

Scientific research has shown the importance of certain areas in the National Petroleum Reserve Alaska to be very sensitive, areas like the Teshekpuk Lake. They need to be protected from oil drilling. The Bureau of Land Management didn't listen. We hope you mean what you say, that you are here to listen. Protect the biologically rich areas in the National Petroleum Reserve Alaska. Stop

trying to open for drilling the coastal plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

I worked there for many summers as a guide. Many of the people we took there, as we were floating down the river, would state, I have never seen a place like this. This is so unique. Listen to the people of the United States who have over and over again said they want the coastal plain of the

9 Arctic National Wildlife Refuge protected. Please 10 listen and cooperate. Please save some wild areas 11 for future generations. Thank you. 12 MR. CASE: We are going to take a 13 break right now, but before we do, I'd like to ask 14 the Secretary if he would like to speak before the 15 break. 16 MR. KEMPTHORNE: I would just say I'm 17 very impressed by the demeanor by which the comments 18 are made. You are covering a wide landscape of 19 views and opinions, and it allows us to get 20 impressions so that we can take those impressions 21 and then, as we travel through this state and 49 22 other states and our territories, and use these as a 23 basis to ultimately come to conclusions on a variety 2.4 of things. 25 How many more of you are going to be speaking? 0082 1 May I ask you that? Okay. Because I am 2 scheduled -- I have to keep moving. There are more 3 things that I will be doing today, and a variety of 4 things. I'm going to stay as long as I can. 5 the other members here of this group will be staying. We are establishing the record. And I 6 7 listen to you, and I think Dale or Tim or Ron think 8 this, too, you know. When you say you should come 9 see this and you should come see that, no question. It's that finite thing of time. And so the fact 10 11 that we're here now being able to hear you has been 12 very beneficial, and again, I'm very impressed with 13 how you have conducted this with a great deal of 14 respect. 15 I remember when I was mayor of Boise, there was 16 a public hearing, and it was a room this size that 17 was filled. There were folks that were carrying signs that said "We love our mayor." And at the end 18 19 of the evening, those where the decision did not go 20 their way because you couldn't do everything for 21 everybody, those signs were torn up and on the 22 floor. But those who tore them up came up 23 afterwards and said, we appreciate the process. At 24 least we had an opportunity to express how we feel. 25 Now, I don't know where all this will go. 0083 1 Again, this is -- somebody asked me at another 2 setting what's your -- what's the conclusion? I 3 said this is not a day of conclusion. This is a day of perspective. This is a day of learning. 5 appreciate you because you are helping me. 6 MR. CASE: We are going to take a 7 very quick break, just ten minutes. And if you 8 could, if you see these gentleman trying to get to 9 that door, just let them get there. And we'll get 10 started back in ten minutes at ten after. Thank 11 you. 12 (A break was taken.) 13 MR. CASE: We are going to go ahead

14 and get started. I believe we left off with 27. MS. HUFFINES: My name is Eleanor 15 16 Huffines, and I'm the Regional Director of the Wilderness Society. 17 18 MR. CASE: Could you spell your last 19 name, please? 20 MS. HUFFINES: H-U-F-F-I-N-E-S. 2.1 really appreciate the opportunity to be here today. 22 And you have heard from many people this morning 23 from all across the state about increasing concerns 24 about oil and gas development to their subsistence 25 and cultural values. And I can't begin to speak as 0084 1 eloquently as they have. They live much closer to 2 the land than I do down in Anchorage. But I think 3 it raises a really good opportunity for you all, as 4 part of this new initiative. You have outlined your 5 goals on the website and in this flyer you are 6 passing around. 7 And I think one of the best things you can do 8 in this process is to pause and take a look at the 9 state of Alaska from Bristol Bay up to the Arctic 10 Ocean and look at the scope and scale of energy 11 development proposals across the state. And I 12 emphasize that because it's happening so quickly, so 13 fast that many people in the communities have had 14 to -- public comments, public hearings, literally 15 multiple nights of every week and it's creating 16 incredible stress both in state, as well as in the 17 rest of the country. 18 And many people emphasized it here, but the 19 conservation community and most Alaskans are not 20 opposed to oil and gas development in the state. 21 It's a question of balance and responsible 22 stewardship. 2.3 And I think you have a real opportunity right 24 now to pause, to bring together the best scientists 2.5 from around the state across multiple agencies, 0085 1 tribal governments, local communities, universities and say where are the most biologically important and culturally important areas across the state of 3 4 Alaska. We're talking about MMS, BLM, Fish & 5 Wildlife Service, the State, DNR. It's incredibly 6 difficult to track. We're really at a crux. We 7 could lose these migratory species from -- whether 8 it's fish or migratory birds. So I really encourage 9 you all to get together, pause the development. We 10 are talking about millions and millions and millions 11 of acres. And really sort of regroup and look for 12 the long-term how we can do this better. 13 Thank you.

MR. KLEIN: My name is Dave Klein. Klein is spelled K-L-E-I-N. And I'm a professor emeritus with the University of Alaska in Fairbanks,

MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 27, 28,

14

15

16

17

18

29, 30, 31?

19 but I'm speaking for myself as an individual. I
20 have a long history of association and employment
21 with the Department of the Interior as -- I was
22 leader of the Alaska Cooperative Wildlife Research
23 Unit and then more recently senior scientist with
24 the Cooperative Fisheries Fish & Wildlife Research
25 Unit at the university. I've had a lot of
0086

experience in the Arctic.

1 2

2.3

What I want to talk mostly about today is climate change and the importance of addressing climate change relative to conservation and cooperative conservation in the Arctic and subarctic. Climate change in Alaska is much more obvious than in many other parts of the world. Not all, but many others. And it's obvious that climate change is a global phenomenon that, as former speakers mentioned, the United States is using 25 percent of the fossil fuels used in the world, and yet we represent less than five percent of the total population.

But in the Arctic, relative to oil development, the oil industry is spending millions trying to understand how climate change may affect their whole operation. And it's critically important to understand the nature of thawing of permafrost, for example, and how you operate roads and pipelines. But there is relatively little effort being directed toward understanding the effects of climate change on the environment, on the fish and wildlife resources, on the plants that support the herbivores, that support the carnivores and the importance of all of this and that supports the

people that live in those areas.

How can do you an environmental impact assessment on proposed oil or gas development or any kind of development of activities which disturbs the surface resources, the renewable resources, unless you understand the impact of climate change? That's not easy. It's a complex phenomenon going. We are talking about ecosystem changes. And you have to do it by spending more money. And Department of Interior plays an important role in this regard in understanding ecosystem dynamics as influenced by climate change. You have to do that before you can do a valid environmental impact assessment for any proposed development, including oil and gas.

Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 32, 33, 34,

17 35. I'm sorry.

MR. BARRY: I'm 32.

MR. CASE: Okay. Well, you have been up there before and I thought you were just walking by. My apologies.

MR. BARRY: My name is Tim Barry,
Special Assistant to the Commissioner of the Alaska

24 Department of Fish & Game. I want to just briefly 25 elaborate a little on the comments that were 0088

2.2

2.3

submitted by my -- B-A-R-Y, sorry -- my boss Commissioner Campbell and the Commissioner of the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Kurt Fredriksson.

We've submitted three case studies for your consideration on the topic of cooperative conservation. One case, the Alaska Marine Ecosystem Forum, serves as a good example of cooperative conservation principles already at work in the state. And we have two other case studies examples, fine situations where we think cooperate consequence concepts could provide a way forward on some problematic issues.

The Alaska Marine Ecosystem Forum is a joint effort involving four State agencies: The Department of Fish & Game, Environmental Conservation, Natural Resources, and Commerce and Community Economic Development, along with the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council and a whole raft of federal agencies, including EPA, the National Park Service, BLM, Fish & Wildlife Service, and a number of others.

The forum meets once or twice a year, at which times member agencies have the opportunity to share priorities, share data, engage in joint problem

solving, and identify opportunities for joint work. The forum does not provide consensus advice or recommend actions. It has no independent jurisdiction or authority. It does not regulate any activity. And no agency is required to obtain approval of other Alaska Marine Ecosystem Forum members before acting. It's just getting under way with an initial focus on the Aleutian Islands marine ecosystem, and in the future we are hoping to expand its work into other large marine ecosystems, such as the Arctic Ocean, Bering Sea, and Gulf of Alaska.

An example of an area in which we think cooperative conservation could help us through a difficult problem is reform of the Endangered Species Act. The State of Alaska feels ESA needs to be revised for it to become an effective tool. The ESA now stands as a barrier to both federal and state cooperation and effective conservation and restoration and threatened and endangered species and their habitat.

The State of Alaska feels ESA needs to be amended to restore the basic principle that states manage fish and wildlife resources. Clear distinction needs to be made between threatened and endangered species, and there is a need to restore

the focus to entire biological species and limit the listing of distinct population segments to special

circumstances. We feel that the reforms to the ESA -- I know that ESA reform is being discussed in Washington, D.C., and we have lengthy comments which we have submitted to you folks on this subject.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. MR. CASE: Thank you. 33.

8 9

MR. LIEBSCHER: Tom Liebscher,

L-I-E-B-S-C-H-E-R. I'm currently on annual leave and represent Boone and Crockett Club. So on behalf of the Boone and Crockett Club, we welcome you all distinguished guests, longtime friend Dale Hall and the Secretary to the great state of Alaska and to Fairbanks. And we would ask that Interior continue to actively engage in dialogue with conservation organizations such as American Wildlife Conservation partners.

About a year ago, a new committee was formed in the association of fish and wildlife agencies, the Western Energy and Policy Committee. We believe that committee will be key in helping resolve and come to some agreement on the issues surrounding responsible energy development.

Thank you, and welcome.

25 0091 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 2

3

3

5

6

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. CASE: Thank you.

MR. MAISCH: Yes. Good morning.

Actually, I quess it's more than appropriate to say good afternoon. My name is Chris Maisch. It's M-A-I-S-C-H. I'm the Director of the Division of Forestry within the Department of Natural Resources, State of Alaska. And I'd like to start out by saying welcome to the Secretary and to the panel members.

The State of Alaska Division of Forestry endorses cooperative conservation and is an active participant on many multi-partner projects. For example, the Division of Forestry collaborates with other federal and local fire suppression agencies, leads public and private efforts to develop community wildfire protection plans, participates in interagency planning for biomass fuel projects for schools and public facilities, chairs public and private advisory committees for cooperative forestry programs, and leads interdisciplinary science and technical committees to address forestry practices and fisheries issues.

The State of Alaska benefits from partnerships on many federal programs, including cooperative forestry. The division of forestry is an active

0092 participant in cooperative forestry programs, for forest health protection, urban and community forestry, conservation education, and forest stewardship. Federal funding allows the division staff to provide forest resource assessments, landowner education, forest planning, teacher training, and technical and financial assistance to private citizens, Alaska Native corporations, municipalities and public agencies.

The federal agencies have asked how can the federal government work cooperatively with states, communities, businesses and landowners to better protect the environment and promote conservation.

We have the following specific recommendations. Continue current federal cooperative conservation programs in the upcoming farm bill. Division supports adequate funding for cost share programs, providing financial assistance for cooperative forestry efforts. These include the forest land enhancement program, known as FLEP; Environmental Quality Incentive Program, called EQUIP; Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program; state fire assistance and volunteer fire assistance.

Cost share assistance is necessary for communities and private landowners to provide public

benefits of clean water, fish, and fish and wildlife habitat protection, timber products, and lower wildland fire hazards. These programs have strong records of success in achieving these objective.

Third point, increase state involvement in the delivery of cooperative programs. For example, the Alaska Division of Forestry is well positioned to act as a technical service provider for the EQUIP and WHIP programs in Alaska.

And the final point, improve coordination between the forestry and agricultural sectors. Specifically expand the use of EQUIP and WHIP programs on forest lands. This is particularly important in Alaska where private forest lands cover far greater areas than agricultural crop land. And projects on forest land have the potential for significant contributions to protect water quality and fish habitat.

Federal agencies should coordinate landowner assistance programs to match the best sources of technical and financial assistance with the landowner's needs. Ideally, there should be a clearinghouse with one-stop shopping where landowners can learn which programs are best suited to their needs regardless of the management of the

program.

2.2

I'd like to thank you for your time, and I'll submit detailed written comments for your consideration.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 35.

MR. CARLO: Good evening. Glenn Carlo. I'm with Denakkanaaga. Sorry I don't have a script. But I want to talk to you from the elders.

They wanted me to ask you about recruiting and using

10 our biggest resources, which would be the

firefighters in the villages. Sometimes this is

12 their only income, and I believe BLM needs to spend

more time recruiting and training these people who are raised in the woods and they were born with an axe in their hands. So they are the best people out there.

Thank you.

2.0

2.1

1 2

MR. CASE: Thank you. 36.

MR. VAN DEN BERG: My name is David
van den Berg. I'm executive director of the
Northern Alaska Environmental Center here in
Fairbanks, Alaska. I'll hunch a little bit. I've
been a member of the BLM's Resource Advisory Council
since 1998 when then Secretary of the Interior
Babbitt re-initiated a leasing program for the

National Petroleum Reserve. I do not represent the Resource Advisory Council in my remarks, but I just wanted to let you know I'm the longest serving Resource Advisory Council member in the state of Alaska.

I really appreciate you all, the deputation of you all coming to listen. I think it that's a very important first step, and I'm sure that you fully intend to, with the information you have gathered, to go back and reflect that in your policy and practices. And I think that you will be hearing from a lot of Alaskans today who are concerned about the rate and the pace of energy development and resource exploitation and resource plans and management plans. And I think that if we can see changes in policy and changes in practices that reflect that you have heard us, that will be a good thing.

I believe you created an expectation today that you will take people's comments to heart, and we are expecting that. So thank you.

I just wanted to speak about two things that I believe are happening on BLM lands, and I would describe them as being, as I understand it, as I understand cooperative conservation, two things on

BLM lands that are happening adverse to cooperative conservation.

The first one is the decision at Teshekpuk
Lake. I don't believe that the Secretary -Secretary of Interior Norton, I don't believe that
she exercised her discretion to take all the input
that she got to give maximum protection to the
petroleum reserve for all of the other resources
that the Petroleum Reserve is enabled to protect.
It's not too late, though, obviously, and I hope
that you will take the remarks of Alaskans about
Teshekpuk Lake, the pending lease sale, and hope you
will take those and have some changes, some
reflections in your policy and practices.

The second thing also in BLM lands is also within the National Petroleum Reserve. And it's my feeling that the BLM in Alaska is not taking a

tangible leadership role in protecting the caribou calving grounds of the Western Arctic caribou herd.

And finally, I would like to leave with just a question to you. And it's -- I believe that we all know that Alaska is more or less at the ends of the earth, and I think we need to reckon with what it means to be producing from this last inexhaustible storehouse.

2.1

2.4

2.5

Thank you very much.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 37.

MR. JUSTICE: Hello. My name is Stan Justice, J-U-S-T-I-C-E. And I'm from Fairbanks, Alaska. And I'm speaking just for myself, but I'm a long-time board member of the Northern Alaska Environmental Center. Also I want to support what other people have said about not drilling all these remote places.

I've spent time the last two summers walking across the Alaska Wildlife Refuge, and it truly is a unique place that should be protected and not drilled. If you compare the beauty of the coastal plain to the east with what has happened to the west with pipeline development -- Prudhoe Bay will never be the same, even once all the oil is gone. And to think that we can put that land back together and it will -- it will never fully recover.

We were at a place at Camden Bay where we used to have a -- listening to the Russians during the Cold War era, there was a DEW line station there, and they have cleaned it up, but you can still see visible evidence. They didn't remove the slabs, the concrete slabs where they had had a runway. The ocean had taken all the cables and the runway lights

and just churned them into a mess and filled with gravel. There is just still evidence there. And I think Prudhoe Bay will continue to have signs of it long after the oil is gone. So we need to not go into some of those places.

The other issue I wanted to mention is, as a species, we have become very creative about traveling on these remote areas in Alaska. We get bigger four-wheelers, bigger snowmachines, and at some point we need to kind of draw a line. I don't own a snowmachine myself, but as land managers, we have to -- have to get ahead of this here because the four-wheelers are causing more and more trails across the land and more and more damage when they go around swamps, and the snowmachines can just go everywhere now.

An example of this, the East Alaska Plan that's recently been completed by BLM set aside some areas for quiet recreation, but now it's going to come time to implement that. The Delta Mountains were set aside as an area for quiet recreation with no snowmachines, but now it's going to be time to

implement that. And cooperative conservation,
working with the snow travelers and the snowmachine
clubs and the four-wheelers clubs and the Alaska
alpine clubs, to get all those stakeholders together

alpine clubs, to get all those stakeholders together and try and figure out a way to implement the East Alaska Plan in regards to quiet recreation.

Thank you.

2.1

2.2

2.5

2.4

MR. CASE: Thank you. 38, 39, 40.

MS. KEHRHAHN-STARK: My name is Mary
Kehrhahn-Stark, and I'm a 20-year resident of
Alaska. I'm here on behalf of my family and my
fellow brothers and sisters of this fine country.

If the goal of this exchange is to enhance wildlife habitat, offer species protection, and ultimately align the interaction between citizens and the ownership of the land, then we need to cancel the development or the Teshekpuk lease sale and the development of ANWR.

These areas are precious grounds for a vast number of birds and animals, as you have heard. There are other places that can offer what the oil industry desires. And with the oil industry in mind, gentlemen, and Secretary Kempthorne, lies and brash mistakes have been made in taking care of their equipment leading to gross environmental degradation, and I think we need to remind ourselves of this. This is a reality that we live with when we are considering the development of these areas.

We need to remember strongly the present environmental changes created by the petroleum development and the industry in itself and the legacy that it's going to leave our children and our future.

Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Could you spell your name?

MS. KEHRHAHN-STARK:

K-E-H-R-H-A-H-N-STARK.

MR. CASE: 41? 40? Okay.

MR. WATERHOUSE: My name is John Waterhouse, W-A-T-E-R-H-O-U-S-E, and I'm from the Yukon River Intertribal Watershed Council. The watershed council came together in 1997. 63 tribes signed an accord to clean up and protect the Yukon River watershed. Being a little over 2,000 miles long, it's the longest inland run of salmon in the entire world. So it's a pretty big job, you can imagine.

I see the questions here. How can we help? How can the federal government enhance cooperation? How can we work with tribes? Not to take up too much time, I think it would take forever to explain all that. But what I would say, in the spirit of

l cooperative conservation, is please contact us. I'm

going to leave you with our -- it's a 42-minute documentary of the watershed council and my contact information. And I think we can help you with each one of these questions.

So I'll leave it at that.

MR. CASE: Okay. Thank you.

42?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

0102 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

0103

MS. MILLER: Hello. My name is Pamela A. Miller. I'm from Fairbanks, Alaska. T'm representing the Northern Alaska Environmental Center. I'm going to focus a little more deeply into some of the arctic issues that are within the purview of this meeting today.

Thank you, Secretary Kempthorne, for inviting Dale Hall I know from my old days at the Fish & Wildlife Service as a biologist, Secretary from NOAA, and EPA. We are pleased you are here in Fairbanks.

This morning I woke up hearing the cranes flying across the Tanana Valley. They connect us to the arctic. Hundreds of thousands come through our community every fall. They connect us to the Lower 48. Where is their home? They nest in the arctic, just like the geese that come through Fairbanks and

the brant that go down the West Coast of the United States as far as Mexico. They are at great risk with the proposed lease sale at Teshekpuk Lake. That lease sale should be canceled.

The recent information with the corrosion, the spills, the pervasiveness of the betrayal of how the industry is failing to do its job is just a glimpse of what we know about the impacts of oil and gas development. I've worked in this area for a long time. Finally the rest of the country is getting a little bit of a piece of this information. doesn't make sense to go forward with oil and gas lease sales in our most prized and critical wildlife habitats like Teshekpuk Lake or to even consider drilling our last great wilderness of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. You have heard many reasons why it should be protected.

We need to invest, as Americans who have great ingenuity, in our renewable energy resources and efficiency. These do not create pollution from spills. They will help us reduce global warming.

I will mention one other fact. I have a map back there which I forgot bring up, but with respect to Teshekpuk Lake, pipelines, and the myth that oil and gas development is very small in a compact area,

1 under the proposed regulations of the Bureau of Land 2 Management, there can be a sprawling complex

3

throughout the goose molting area with pipelines in the most sensitive habitats. They have disregarded

the fact that you would have aircraft monitoring

5

them. And you --

There is a need for the transportation corridors from the offshore through this critical area with roads or pipelines. And this also was an issue with the 2,000 acres in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge that you hear so much about. There's really 1.5 million acres at stake in the coastal plain.

Please reconsider, urge all your agencies to tell the Interior Secretary to withdraw his plans for the Teshekpuk lease sale. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 43.

MS. SCHARFENBERG: My name is Joni Scharfenberg, S-C-H-A-R-F-E-N-B-E-R-G. I'm here as one person, but representing several groups and organizations, so it's sort of the epitome of cooperative conservation.

First of all, I'm a farmer, private landowner, and I represent the agricultural community and the local farmers' markets. And we are always needing

conservation resources to help in providing foods and fiber to our community.

I also am a State coordinator of Project WET, which is an international water education organization. And I thank NOAA for including Alaska in a recent education workshop about coral reefs, especially with what you just mentioned about the big area being reserved here in Alaska.

I work for the Fairbanks Soil and Water Conservation District, which is one of 12 conservation districts across the state that are member districts of the Alaska Association of Conservation Districts. Conservation districts work with private landowners in the development of their land, encouraging, assisting, educating them in the wise use of natural resources on their land, which is our definition of conservation. We have --

I think in this capacity I'm able to address all the issues on this card by asking the federal government to continue to work with and assist in funding soil and water districts in Alaska and the nation. Since the Dust Bowl, conservation districts nationwide have worked at the grass roots level in cooperative natural resource conservation. In fact, the members or the people we work with are called

cooperators.

Districts also work as liaisons between government agencies and private landowners, and in the Fairbanks District we have great partnerships with NRCS, U.S. Fish & Wildlife, and BLM in agriculture, invasive weeds, watershed, fish passage, and other projects. We need these agencies' continued support in these conservation efforts

Please continue the partner programs in all of these areas. But in doing so, remember that Alaska

is different than the rest of the nation. As the Secretary mentioned, we are rugged individuals, and we are so because of the very place we live. Because of the climate, geographic, and transportation challenges of the state, the federal programs that work in the rest of the country don't always work as easily here in Alaska. And the implementation of them is much more expensive. 

So I would also ask that you would be more flexible in applying these programs here in Alaska for our needs and realize that funding dollars need to be higher to meet these needs.

Finally, I would also encourage the federal government to prioritize natural resource education

for all ages. Like the sixth graders here this morning, we need to educate our national resource leaders of tomorrow today, or there will be no one to testify at future listening sessions.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 44? 45?
DR. WERNHAM: Good afternoon. My
name is Aaron Wernham. I'm a physician with the
Alaska Intertribal Council. My name is spelled
W-E-R-N-H-A-M.

Thanks for the opportunity to talk to you for a couple of minutes here. We are here primarily to reiterate the multiple requests you have heard today to request the Teshekpuk Lake special area from the lease — to withdraw that from the leasing proposal. As a physician, it's a singularly disturbing realization that in the United States large scale industrial projects are not routinely and systematically evaluated as to their human health impacts. The environmental impact statement for this project, as for all the prior industrial development on the North Slope, has very, very little to say about human health.

There is an existing process which has been developed in Canada and the U.K. and is being advocated for here in the United States called

health impact assessment. Our organization is interested in seeing this process implemented on the North Slope.

As you are undoubtedly aware, the federally mandated Natural Research Council review of the cumulative impacts of oil development criticized the current North Slope planning process for its lack of attention to human health. Unfortunately, I can't honestly say that I see any change in the attention given to human health in the current commanded integrated activity planned for the northeast NPRA.

The reason we have singled out Teshekpuk Lake for consideration of human health impacts -- and I have submitted detailed comments on potential human health impacts in this region to Secretary Kempthorne -- is because of the unique and special

and close ties of the people who inhabit that region to the land in the Teshekpuk Lake area. Teshekpuk Lake is not only an important subsistence area; it is where many of the subsistence-based resources from -- for the entire region flow from. Impacts to resources there will impact many communities around the North Slope.

Subsistence is an integral and essential part of human health on the North Slope. From impacts of 0108

subsistence, you can extrapolate very easily impacts on the rate of diabetes, impacts on the rate of accidents as people have to go farther through more difficult conditions to find game, impacts on social and psychological dysfunction, which are already plaguing these communities. As a parenthetical note, there is substantial evidence that Nuiqsut has been disproportionately impacted by social and psychological problems. There is a report I would refer you to by the Alaska Criminal Justice Council which documents markedly increased arrest rates and reports of harm to social services in that community as compared with other communities on the North Slope. Of note, that is not quoted in the environmental impact statement. I think we will leave it there.

There is a lot more to say about health impacts, and I would just encourage every federal agency to strongly support the current Senate bill, the Healthy Places Bill sponsored by Barack O'Bama, which would enact rules similar to NEPA for human health. I can't see any ethical justification for why human health is not more attended to in this process.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 46. 47.

MR. BANERJEE: Greetings to you all. My name is Subhankar Banerjee. It's a difficult name to spell, so I'll present a book to Secretary Kempthorne.

I am not a resident of Alaska. I am a visitor. I make my home in Santa Fe, New Mexico, but I have made a deep connection to Alaska since 2001. I'll make my comments in two special places: Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and Teshekpuk Lake. Since 2001 I have been photographing extensively in Alaska's North Slope, Arctic National Wildlife Refuge primarily, and have been educating American person public across the country, and I would welcome you and really urge, if you have fifteen minutes to spare, my exhibition is right here in Fairbanks right now at the Museum of the North, University of Alaska.

That exhibit has traveled in over 25 cities and has been visited by about 10,000,000 people in Washington, D.C. at the Smithsonian; in New York, American Museum of Natural History; in Chicago,

Field Museum; Harvard Museum of Natural History.
And that's what I have been doing, educating the
public about this special place we call the Arctic
National Wildlife Refuge. It is truly a remarkable

1 place.

2.0

I come from India. That's where I grew up. And I was truly moved. It changed my life, that visitation. I traveled with my friend and guide who is sitting over there. And earlier he made his comments, Robert Thompson from Kaktovik, 4,000 miles. And not just in summer months, in winter months. He extensively traveled all seasons. And I spent time with my friends, Luci Beach is there, Sarah James, who have lived in the Gwich'in country.

Tomorrow I'll leave for Old Crow, a Gwich'in village in the Yukon of the Canadian Arctic. We talked about the caribou migration, the bird migration. Many people have commented on that. Earlier this year I was in Point Lay, an Inupiaq village just north of where Earl Kingik comes from in Point Lay. He's from Point Hope. And people were waiting for the beluga hunt.

And we saw several nests, probably about six pairs of nests of a tiny songbird species called yellow wagtail. And I told the North Slope Borough biologist, Robert Sudan (ph), do you know that the wagtails, some of them come from India to the Arctic. And yellow wagtails also nest in the Arctic Refuge.

Then I went to the calving ground of the -- of the Western Arctic caribou herd and onto Teshekpuk Lake. And Teshekpuk Lake, before going there as an artist -- I'll finish up very quickly -- I thought how visually, how interesting could it be? A whole lot of lakes on a flat tundra, and I was broken away. Two weeks ago a portfolio of my prints was presented to Michael Bogert, counsel to Secretary Kempthorne. He loved the images so much, he kept the portfolio and promised that he would be sharing with Secretary Kempthorne.

We urge Secretary Kempthorne to really reconsider the Teshekpuk lease sale, the area that we should not lose to development.

MR. CASE: If you could come up and give her your name, show the book to Mary. Thank you. 48? No. 48? 49? 50? 51?

MR. KELLER: Good afternoon. Yeah. My name is Andy Keller, and I live in Fairbanks, and I wanted to -- it's K-E-L-L-E-R. And I speak for myself and also for my wife. And I want to welcome you to Fairbanks and encourage you, as others have mentioned, to stop by and see the sandhill cranes.

My wife speaks six languages and is truly an international person. And I want to speak for the  $\,$ 

 international community that are really not here to represent themselves. And for my wife, I want to say enchantT, which is French for welcome, nice to meet you.

2.0

I've had the privilege of working for three DOI agencies: The National Park Service, the BLM, and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, in addition to the guiding that I currently do in Arctic Alaska. And America is still emerging from its frontier past. In fact, the frontier is so close that we can almost hear the rumble of wagon trains heading west across the prairies. Midwestern October skies full of migrating waterfowl trigger memories of a time when the land was full of bison and the expansive prairies extended in all directions.

Symbols of the frontier fill the bars, barns, restaurants and homes of this country. From old wagon wheels in suburban Washington, D.C. yards to plows in the Holiday Inn in Kearney, Nebraska, the symbols are everywhere. American western movies and the frontier that they represent are a global icon.

Alaska sets the standards for wildlife and wilderness throughout the country and globally. The beauty that people enjoy and inspiration that they draw from Alaska exists primarily on its public

federal lands. Here we still have the opportunity to experience free-roaming caribou herds and unspoiled ecosystems.

In order to maintain the wild character of Alaska and its biological diversity, I recommend these actions: One, from the Yukon boundary to the Chukchi Sea, there are only 25 miles of that coast within the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge that are currently in the National Wilderness Preservation System. So Mr. Secretary, please show me where the Administration plans to protect any of the acres. I don't see any acreage north of the Brooks Range, save for that area from the Aichilik River east that is being proposed for protection. So I would pose a question of: Where is the balance in that?

And also to provide some -- so to provide that balance, I recommend adding the entire coastal plain of the Arctic Wildlife Refuge in the National Wilderness Preservation System, to cancel the leases at Teshekpuk Lake and to conduct a feasibility study of establishing a conservation area there on the Colville River and on the Utukok highlands to establish some type of protective status.

A former governor, and I believe somebody else mentioned Secretary Andrus from the home state of 

1 Idaho, was instrumental in passing ANILCA, the
2 Alaska National [sic] Interest Lands Conservation
3 Act. And it's the responsibility of the Secretary
4 now to fully ensure that Americans have a lasting
5 frontier instead of looking back in time at the last

frontier. 6 7 And also one other point, the Land and Water 8 Conservation Fund was established to provide funds 9 to purchase public lands for future generations. 10 Thanks for the opportunity to comment today. 11 MR. CASE: Thanks. 52? 53? 12 56? 57? 58? 59? 13 MR. KROTO: Good afternoon. I'm Ted 14 Kroto, K-R-O-T-O. I represent Tyonek Native 15 Corporation, and I'd like to speak about the USCA 16 NRCS program in Alaska. We currently have an 17 agreement with the U.S. Department of Agriculture. 18 We formed the first tribal conservation district in 19 the state. We have an MOU with the Department of 20 Fish & Game to do predator control on our lands and 2.1 also to enhance our habitat enhancement program. 22 have a current contract with NRCS to do habitat 23 enhancement on our lands on the Cook Inlet side, and 24 we are no stranger to oil and gas development. We 25 have had oil and gas development on our lands and 0115 across from our lands since the late '50s. And next 1 2 year we are looking at the opening of a coal field, 3 the largest coal field in North America close to a deep water port. 4 5 So you can understand the need for us to look 6 at conservation and enhancement of our habitat, and 7 we have a wonderful program going with NRCS and the Я Department of Agriculture. The problem we are running into is the lack of funding for the state of 9 10 Alaska in these programs. 11 Right now we qualify under some of the programs 12 through EQUIP and a majority of the programs through 13 the WHIP program. The problem we are having with 14 the EQUIP program is that is a majority of the 15 funding that the farmers and ranchers get their 16 funding from, and we are having to fund -- we are 17 having to compete with the farmers and ranchers for 18 the funding that they are used to getting, and we 19 really don't want to be a competitor to them. We 20 believe that, you know, the 44,000,000 acres of land 21 that the Natives have entitlement to in the state of 22 Alaska as a private landowner should qualify them 23 for additional funding either through the WHIP 24 program or some of the EQUIP programs. 25 And we have done some analyses of the funding 0116 1 throughout the Lower 48, and we are looking at an 2 average of \$2,600 per acre funded through NRCS to 3 private lands wildlife management. Alaska Native 4 funds for last year received \$27 per acre. 5 believe that Department of Interior should look with 6 the Department of Agriculture for increasing the 7 funding for NRCS Alaska. 8 MR. CASE: Thank you. No. 60? 9 62? 63? 10 MS. STARK: Hi. I'm Catlianne (ph)

Stark, S-T-A-R-K. I don't know if you know how to spell my first name or not. I'm 16. I go to West Valley High School here in Fairbanks, and I just learned about talking here to you guys a few days ago, and I have been really excited about it. I'm leaving school for lunch to come talk to you guys.

So my generation needs to be listened to. My generation seems to be kind of underrepresented here, except for the sixth graders earlier. This is what I have to say.

Drilling in ANWR is a bad idea. BP, with their past and recent problems with pollution, many youth and adults are thinking why? The facts are before you. Spills, leaks, denied problems that have later become big problems. Your generation may not have

to deal with these is if they drill in ANWR, but my generation will. So if there is big problems, you are going to be elsewhere, somewhere else, and we are going to be down here saying why did they make these decisions if there is such a big problem that could happen? Why deal with these problems at all? You can totally avoid this by having renewable energy, which leaves little to no footprint on the earth without compromising animals' ways of life and lives.

Renewable energy isn't something that's just in the background anymore. The clear and present danger of pollution by BP and other oil companies can be avoided by using renewable energy. With using it, we as guests on the planet here can leave a small footprint, as opposed to what we have been doing earlier.

My generation worries about the choices that you make now. In the last few years I have traveled around this beautiful state and seen landscapes in Seward, Palmer, Gustavus, and here in Fairbanks. One of the places I really want to visit would be ANWR, but if something happens there and it's not the way it is now and all the beautiful photographs that were taken by the speaker just now, what if we

can only see it in photographs because it's not like that anymore if something happens? I don't want to risk that for myself, your children, my children, and generations after that. I want them to be able to see that and see what you have protected.

If this board and others make poor choices, my generation and the future generations will have to deal with it.

Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 64? 65? Is there -- I think we have gotten all the numbers. Is there anybody that wants to speak that has not had a chance? Okay. Come on up. I thought there were 65 passed out, but go ahead.

MR. BISHOP: Well, this says 82. So

16 I was wondering how long you were going to stay. 17 MR. CASE: We are going to stay 18

until the end.

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0120

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. BISHOP: Thank you very much. My name is Dick Bishop. I live in Fairbanks. I would like to comment a little bit on ANILCA in general. I've been involved with ANILCA issues for over 30 years as an individual, as a state game biologist, as rural subsistence user, an urban subsistence user, a so-called sport hunter, which is a rare 0119

commodity, actually, in Alaska because most people hunt for food.

I want to recommend to the Secretary and to whoever else is involved a book. I'd be happy to leave this book for you as a gift for your enlightenment. It's called A Land Gone Lonesome. It's by a local author who took a riverboat trip -actually a canoe trip in the Yukon-Charley Preserve.

And what the book points out, in short, is the failure of the National Park Service to fulfill its obligations under ANILCA to provide the opportunities for Alaskans to continue their ways of life as ANILCA attempted to provide. In particular, he emphasizes the pressure that exists, both officially, unofficially, that tends to dissuade and discourage people in that area attempting to pursue a traditional subsistence lifestyle.

This is not a situation that's unique to the Yukon-Charley Preserve, and it's not unique to the subsistence issue. The administration of ANILCA, particularly with respect to opportunities that were provided in the law for Alaskans to hunt, fish, trap, have access in the state by land and water has routinely been criticized by environmental groups, has routinely been put on the back burner by

Interior Department agencies, and in general there doesn't seem to be, after 26 years, any greater understanding of the few privileges that were incorporated as compromises in ANILCA. To me it seems it's going the opposite direction.

In particular I recently, within the last year, listened to comments about the Denali Park backcountry plan, and it was clear that the people working for the Park Service really had very little understanding or appreciation of the provisions that were included in the enlargement of Denali National Park and inclusion of a substantial piece of it as preserve. And that was oriented to providing for ongoing uses that did not otherwise qualify as subsistence. It's really very discouraging for Alaskans.

The Federal Subsistence Board, which fortunately the Secretary has just revamped a bit, is a standing joke in terms of fairness, in terms of reasonableness, in considering allocation of

21 resource use opportunities among Alaskans. 22 So I am very pleased that Secretary Kempthorne 23 is there. I think he's got a tough job. I would 24 say that Marcia Blaszak, the regional director of the National Park Service, has been working to 25 0121 1 improve matters with regard to public access and 2. related considerations, but there is a long ways to 3 go. It's really -- I think it's really kind of gone 4 downhill. 5 Thank you. 6 Would you like the book? 7 MR. CASE: I'll take it and read it 8 if you're offering it to me, but if you want me to 9 make sure it gets to the Secretary of Interior, I'll 10 do that. Note that I will give that to the 11 Secretary of Interior on the record. Yes. 12 Is there anyone else that has not had a chance 13 to speak that would like to? Anybody else? 14 MS. HEAD: My name is Melissa Head, 15 H-E-A-D. And I do appreciate the effort of all of 16 you being able to come up here to Fairbanks to an area that's so far away. I made the journey about a 17 18 year ago this week for a job at the BLM through the 19 Environmental Careers Organization, and it's been an 2.0 amazing experience. And I just want to say that I 21 think it's unfortunate that the Secretary wasn't 22 able to stay and listen to all these extra comments 23 that were made because I think, especially getting 24 an opinion from the younger generation and 25 everything, he really did miss out on what's been 0122 1 said. 2 Thanks. 3 MR. CASE: Thank you. Is there 4

MR. CASE: Thank you. Is there anyone else that has not had a chance to speak that would like to? If not, before I turn it over to the panelists for any final comments they might have, I'd like to again thank you for taking the time to be here, thank Mary, our court reporter, for being so diligent through this, L'Rona and Lizanne for the sign interpretation, and especially Jewell and Sue from the Fish & Wildlife Service who took care of all the logistics of putting this together. There's a lot of effort involved in it, and appreciate their help.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With that I'll just ask Dale or Tim or Ron if there is anything further you would like to add.

MR. KEENEY: I'd just like to say a few things just to follow up on what the Secretary was saying before. First of all, this was a great opportunity to hear your thoughtful comments, and I very much appreciate it, as I'm sure members of the panel do, as well. And it was great to hear about some of these natural resources and the great wealth of Alaska and how it relates to the nation as a whole.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0125

1 As we know, natural resources in themselves are precious and can be destroyed. We have heard a lot 3 today about man's capacity to destroy the 4 environment is quite endless. And I had an 5 opportunity to witness that myself last week when I was commissioning a NOAA research vessel in 6 7 Massachusetts. We named the vessel after the auk, the great auk, which went extinct in 1846. And it 8 9 was a situation where there were so many of these 10 birds around that people thought that the 11 populations were endless and man's impact was 12 minuscule, so they went around beating these birds 13 to death with clubs. They couldn't fly. They had 14 little tiny wings. Big bird, two, three feet long. 15

And just reminded me, as many of the comments today I've heard about how man's influence needs to be tempered, and there needs to be some balance.

And I personally will certainly reflect on these comments today and try to consider them with regards to future policies and practices that I undertake. And want to thank you all very much for your involvement and staying for the whole time. And it's very meaningful to me. Thank you.

MR. HALL: I'd also like to add my appreciation for those of you that stuck it to the 0124

end because this is really important to us. really isn't just an exercise. This was a conscious decision that we made among the agencies and the departments that it was time to get out, that the old paradigm of how business was being done just simply wasn't getting it done.

I had the privilege of spending a temporary detail here in Alaska right here in Fairbanks in 1986. I ran the ecological services office of the Fish & Wildlife Service that summer. The previous supervisor had dogsledded up to Selawik and had to take a new job up near Nome. And I came up from Texas from that office. And I really gained an appreciation up here for a different perspective. And I think it's one that's lost sometimes.

We will have 24 of these sessions.

Twenty-three of them will be in different parts of the country. They are talking about how to really work in partnership to restore and reclaim what we have lost. I think it was real important for the Secretary to get a better feel for the challenge in Alaska is not to lose it. And that -- I can tell you he is very sincere about that. And we will be talking. He didn't miss any of your comments because I'll be with him the next three days, and I

- 1 assure you we will be talking about things that came up during this discussion and the challenges and the
- 3 questions that are there trying to figure out, at
- least from the Fish & Wildlife Services perspective,

any advice I can give him on how to address those. 6 And the third thing is it's not lost on me. 7 It's not lost on the Secretary. I don't think it's 8 lost on anybody. That we are not here to take care 9 of this generation. We are here to take care of not 10 even your generation. We are here to take care of 11 your grandchildren and their grandchildren. 12 that's what it's really all about. If we are to 13 have a true system of natural resources that the 14 Creator gave us, that is not so defaced that we 15 can't recognize it anymore, our challenge is to make 16 sure that it is better than was handed to us, and 17 each generation has that same challenge. 18 So that's the direction we are trying to go, 19 and getting out and talking to you is a truly honest 20 effort to try and say to you we don't have all the 21 answers and we are hoping you can help. And I 22 believe you all have. 23 So thanks a lot. 24 MR. KREIZENBECK: Well, as a former 25 Fairbanks resident and a graduate of the University 0126 1 of Alaska Fairbanks, I'm always pleased to come back here. And I'm really proud of all of you for the 2 3 organization and the directness of your comments. 4 This is only my second one of these I've 5 participated in. In the first listening session in Spokane with the Secretary where we had 183 6 7 commenters, I want to say that the comments here 8 were very well organized and succinct. And that's 9 appreciated. And also it makes easier for Mary and 10 the others and Dave who are going to have to distill 11 these into some sort of action. 12 So thank you very much for your consideration. 13 MR. CASE: Thank you, everybody. And we will close the session down, then. Thank you. 14 15 (Proceedings adjourned at 1:15 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4 25 0127 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 2 I, MARY A. VAVRIK, RMR, Notary Public in 3 and for the State of Alaska do hereby certify: 4 That the foregoing proceedings were taken 5 before me at the time and place herein set forth; 6 that the proceedings were reported stenographically 7 by me and later transcribed under my direction by 8 computer transcription; that the foregoing is a true

record of the proceedings taken at that time; and

10 11 12 13	that I am not a party to nor have I any interest in the outcome of the action herein contained.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my hand and affixed my seal this
14	day of 2006.
15	
16	
	MARY A. VAVRIK,
17	Registered Merit Reporter
	Notary Public for Alaska
18	
19	My Commission Expires: November 5, 2008
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	